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FACTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL RESILIENCE AMONG ADOLESCENDS

Abstract. The Soviet scholars studied the problem of individual development, and age stages quite thoroughly and comprehensively. Child psychology, as a branch of psychology, according to many psychologists, is considered one of the most developed areas of psychological science. Recent studies pay greater attention to the child’s social development, which depends on changing values, mentality, the novelty in reality, to which we can include (except for values) the spreading IT into the daily life, growing infantilism of children and others. The primary duties of high school student have strong ties with professional and personal self-determination. At this age, the psychological content of many essential age characteristics, including psychological stability, is qualitatively changing. One of the characteristics of modern life is the increased impact on the person of stressful situations. They, having hidden, wait for it in any sphere of life and are always expressed differently. It can be a misunderstanding in a family, a salary delay, the conflict to negatively adjusted seller in the shop. The article deals with the actual problem of the psychological resilience of high school students who are going to study in specialized classes. The question is the fact that at the beginning of training in such classes, many students have difficulties with stress and anxiety. The participants mentioned that the process of adaptation, in which they need to build relationships with peers, teachers and the complicated level of the school program, was the reasons for their high level of anxiety. This problem prompted the creation of a test identifying the levels of students' psychological resilience. We analyzed some Soviet, Russian and Western psychological schools about psychological resilience.
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Introduction. One of the characteristics of modern life is the increased impact on the person of stressful situations. They, having hidden, wait for it in any sphere of life and are always expressed differently. It can be a misunderstanding in a family, a salary delay, the conflict to negatively adjusted seller in the shop.

The article deals with the actual problem of the psychological resilience of high school students who are going to study in specialized classes. The question is the fact that at the beginning of training in such classes, many students have difficulties with stress and anxiety. The participants mentioned that the process of adaptation, in which they need to build relationships with peers, teachers and the complicated level of the school program was the reasons for their high level of anxiety. This problem prompted the creation of a test identifying the levels of students' psychological resilience. We analyzed some Soviet, Russian and Western psychological schools about psychological resilience. In the process of developing the test, we paid attention to the concept of “educational environment”; we analyzed in detail the psychological sources, theories, and concepts related to this phenomenon. The subject of study is high school student who is facing the personal development changes. Therefore the test included judgments related to self-esteem, the ability to build relationships with teachers and classmates, and adaptation to the educational environment. We found significant differences in the level of students' psychological resilience at the beginning of the year and the end of the semester.

The student's psychological resilience protects his personality from disintegration and personality disorders, creates internal harmony, mental health, high performance, and adaptation, especially in connection with the transition to specialized classes.

We should mention a little difficulty which occurs on the translation of the phenomenon of psychological resilience. For a Western School of Psychology, it is "psychological resilience" as a form of mental toughness. Psychological resilience is the ability to cope with a crisis successfully and to return to pre-crisis status quickly. Resilience exists when the person uses "mental processes and behaviors in promoting personal assets and protecting an individual from the potential negative effects of stressors." In simpler terms, psychological resilience exists in people who develop psychological and behavioral capabilities that allow them to remain calm during crises/chaos and to move on from the incident without long-term negative consequences. Psychological resilience is an evolutionary advantage that most people have and use to manage normal stressors. The concept of resilience has evolved over time and its origins can be traced to the discipline of psychology in the 1970s (Thomas & Revell, 2016). Resilience is the ability of a person to recover, adjust or thrive following adversity (Hegney, Rees, Eley, Oseiran-Moission, & Francies, 2015). It is a complex and dynamic phenomenon (American Psychological Association, 2016).

Resilience is the process of adapting well in the face of adversity, trauma, tragedy, threat or significant sources of stress – such as family and relationship problems, serious health problems or workplace and financial stressors. It means "bouncing back" from painful experiences.

Emotional pain and sadness are common in people who have suffered significant adversity or trauma in their lives. The road to resilience is likely to involve considerable emotional distress. Resilience is not a trait that people either have or do not have. It involves behaviors, thoughts, and actions that can be learned and developed in anyone.

The Soviet School of psychology pays greater attention to a fact of "stability." Psychological resilience is psychological stability. According to E.N. Ignatova, L.V. Kulikova, resilience is a socio-psychological stress tolerance of person to adverse living conditions. Some authors associate this phenomenon (stability) with different aspects of the personality (V.A. Ivannikov, E.P. Ilyin, E.I. Ignatov, V.K. Kalin, L.A. Korostyleva, L.V. Kulikov, A. Maslow). Rapokhin considers "stability" as:

- the individual ability to complete self-realization and personal growth, timely stimulating;
- adequate resolution of intrapersonal conflicts (motivational, value, role);
- the relative stability of the emotional sphere and favorable mood;
- ability emotional-volitional regulation with the sufficient motivation to the situation.

The basis of own psychological stability, according to Prokhorov, Petrovsky are the balanced mental states that characterize adequate, predictable, balanced behavior and optimal human activity. The psychological stability understands by dynamic characteristics (V.V. Belous, V.S. Merlin).

According to V.S. Rotenberg and V.V. Arshavsky, psychological resilience (stability) is the search activity. The high need for searching, an active, creative attitude push personality to overcome the destructive impact of adverse life circumstances.

Psychological resilience (A.G. Asmolov, B.S. Bratus, N.I. Korolyuk, M.A. Kotik, E.P. Krupnik, L.V. Kulikov, B.V. Kulagin) is persistence to reach the goals.

According to some humanistic psychologists, psychological stability is as part of the process of spiritual and moral education (Kon, T.I. Petrukova, E. Fromm, et al.). This approach identifies in psychological stability system-forming inherent characteristics of the personality, which determine its essential nature and qualitative originality.

Many authors (Bozhovich, E.I. Golovaha, A.F. Lazursky, Leontiev, A. Maslow, G. Olport, E. Fromm, V.E. Chudnovsky) consider the psychological stability of personality as an indicator of moral maturity.

The phenomenon of personal psychological resilience includes the adaptive human body, appropriate conduct, the normal functioning of the mind, ensuring the successful activation of internal resources and overcoming life difficulties (V. Miniaylov).
Yu.I. Aleksandrov and V.D. Shadrikov emphasize that sustainability determine the totality of personality traits. These include a deep understanding and acceptance of oneself, a positive orientation towards effective communication, high satisfaction with life and one’s health.

Psychological resilience affects the interaction between individuals or a group of individuals (L.M. Mitina, V.F. Petenko, K.V. Sel’chikov, V.I. Slobozhikov). If we resort to medical metaphor, then this concept can be compared with immunity, which is responsible for nonspecific adaptive reactions of the body, developing in response to a threat to its integrity (B.G. Ananiev).

Meanwhile, psychological resilience includes as a high personal potential (stability, stability, balance, adequate self-esteem, adaptability, striving to achieve the goals), providing will regulation in educational activities and focus on constructive interaction in everyday situations. Psychological stability represents the process of preservation of the most optimum operating mode of the mentality of the person in the conditions of constantly changing circumstances and their stressful influence. It depends on such factors as the nervous system of the person, his education, experience, a level of development, etc.

Besides, psychological stability is not an absolute guarantee of stability in general to everything. Psychological stability is instead flexibility of mentality of the person, then firmness and stability of his nervous system. Moreover, the fundamental characteristic of psychological stability is mobility of mentality in continually changing conditions. Psychological stability as well as instability, always "works" according to the scheme.

P.A. Korcheninaya considered the structure of the psychological stability of personality in military psychology. According to her psychological resilience consist of the emotional, volitional, intellectual components.

According to A.V. Pernyakova psychological resilience structure includes the following components: psychological (attention, memory, thinking, will and self-attitude), socio-psychological (ability to interact with other people), medical (health, physiological capabilities of the body), engineering and psychological (speed, speed, and accuracy of motor reactions).

Some scholars include as essential components of the psychological stability: the ability to complete self-realization (E.I. Ignatova, L.A. Korostyleva, L.V. Kulikov, Maslow), personal growth with the timely and adequate resolution of intrapersonal conflicts (motivation, value, role-playing), the relative stability of the emotional sphere and favorable mood (L.V. Kulikov), the ability to emotional and volitional regulation (V.A. Ivannikov, E.P. Ilin, V.K. Kalin, N.P. Rapoohn) the motivational tension adequate to the situation (E. Ignatova, E.P. Ilin).

Some scholars emphasize the role of cognitive components of psychological resilience (Yu.I. Aleksandrov, V.D. Shadrikov). Psychological stability of the personality is shown in stability, firmness, resilience which are implemented in a strong-willed component (L.V. Kulikov). Psychologically stable personality can self-regulate and self-organize their behavior in stressful situations, resolve intrapersonal conflicts (E.H. Ericson).

The factors that we identified, one way or another, affect the students' psychological resilience. They can promote or hinder its manifestations in the educational environment, by which it is necessary to understand the totality of educational institutions that form the system of educational and educational influences in the pedagogical process. It is necessary to create the appropriate psychological and pedagogical conditions that will facilitate the process of students adaptation to study in specialized classes (B.M. Bim Bad, Bourdieu, Yu.N. Kulyutkin, S.V. Tarasov, V.A. Yasin).

**Research Methods.** Empirical base of research. 107 high school students of specialized classes of school number 29, 45, 159 took part in our study, Ufa. Thus, high school students are the most adaptive regarding solving the principal tasks of this period: educational activities, personal self-affirmation.

We devised participants on two groups: Control group with newcomers 54 students (32 girls and 22 boys); Experimental group with 53 students (30 girls and 23 boys). The age of participants was from 15 to 17 - years. The average age was 16.8 years.

For standartization of test of psychological resilience we create data with 257 high school students from different schools in the city of Ufa. The average age was 16.3 years. Participants were 138 girls and 119 boys.

**Findings.** Meanwhile, such a phenomenon as specialized classes, which are often formed in schools in the 10th-11th grades, cannot but affect the emotional stability and psychological equilibrium of senior
pupils. The desire to reach certain heights and demands from parents and teachers make psychological stability the problem area of child development in adolescence. Therefore, the technique developed by K. A. Shinyaev and V. M. Miniyarov is topical, relevant and accessible.

Test Description. As a rule, the specialized class is a new team. A pupil meets new teachers, masters complicated academic program. Thus, the new educational environment affects the self-esteem, stress, striving for success, and other factors of the psychological stability of a senior pupil. [2]

Test “Determination of psychological resilience of personality” for senior pupils (V. M. Miniyarov, K. A. Shinyaev) was developed in order to identify the level of psychological resilience of senior pupils. The test passed psychometric analysis and was standardized.

The tasks of the methodology were based on a theoretical analysis of construct “psychological stability of personality” and survey of probationers (senior pupils described this concept). Next, we identified the structural components of the psychological stability of personality: cognitive, volitional and behavioral ones. We identified strong characteristics of the selected components (based on scientific sources and survey data). The components of psychological stability provided the basis for the test. These structural components have the following content. The cognitive component includes self-understanding and self-acceptance and environment in educational milieu, correlation of concepts of predictable possibilities of present potential; volitional component includes stability, durability, resistance, balance, emotional flexibility, capacity for personal growth; behavioral component includes the ability to self-regulate and self-organize our behavior in various stressful situations, focus on constructive communication in daily life and activities, to create and maintain favorable interpersonal relations, to resolve intrapersonal conflicts.

Efficiency and point discriminatory power index was taken into account while selecting the items (tasks) of the method. Efficiency index was determined by dividing the number of students who gave “key” response by their total number. Discriminatory power index was calculated as the coefficient of correlation of each task of a technique with a total score of the technique. Discriminatory power index of the technical task shows its relevance to the measured parameter (psychological stability of personality). Spearman’s R criterion was used to calculate the correlation coefficient. All the values of coefficients of correlation of the technical tasks with the final score are positive, statistically significant (p < 0.01) and are in the range from 0.23 to 0.58. It shows the high discriminatory power of the technical tasks.

![Psychological resilience](image)

Figure 1 – Psychological resilience with normal distribution
The technique is valid. Test validity is the extent to which a test measures what it is intended. Test validity is based on theory and empirical studies data. The main types of validity include content and constructive ones.

The content validity of a test is the degree of coincidence between the real and desirable content (tasks) of a test (which characterizes a given construct). The content validity was proved as follows. The expert group of five people was asked to assess the compliance of the technical tasks with the construct measured on a five-grade scale where 1 - the task does not correspond to the measured construct in full; 2 - does not correspond at all; 3 - can be attributed to the construct; 4 - is likely to correspond; 5 - fully corresponds. The experts were specialists in psychological diagnostics, high school psychology teachers.

Construct validity reflects representation degree of a test construct in test results and defines the scope of phenomena theoretical structure measured by the test.

We applied “Social and psychological adaptation diagnosis” test developed by C. Rogers and R. Diamond in order to identify construct validity. The construct of “social and psychological adaptation” is theoretically connected with “psychological stability of personality” construct. Pearson’s linear correlation criterion defined the relationship between them. The positive significant correlation was discovered. This relationship is direct and explicit, and it reflects the high degree of similarity of psychological stability of personality with adaptation.

Thus, the results of the study suggest that the personality psychological stability test developed is valid. The reliability of the test proves its high quality. The test is considered reliable if it allows obtaining the same indices for each test-taker during the second test. The reliability of the test was determined by the reproducibility and accuracy of the test results.

We did not check the test for retest reliability (it is necessary to assess the risk of errors occurrence that may affect the test result), because the primary condition for retest reliability check conduction is the optimal choice of the time range, in order to reveal the insignificance of the changes. We carried out repeated tests at the end of the academic year, so with such a large time gap, adequate results cannot be obtained.

![Table 1](image)

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).**

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Table 2 presents partial correlations between all study variables, with results for each age group presented separately. We controlled for sex to ensure that any observed differences in the pattern of correlations between age groups were not gender related. As expected, psychological resilience was associated with Deserved Authority, Self-Confidence among adolescents. Psychological resilience evidenced a significant negative correlation with depression and anxiety (Susceptibility to stresses) and a significant positive correlation with Neurotic Scale. That’s evidence was quite surprising fact cause most of scholar associate resilience with physiological stability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Psychological resilience</th>
<th>Low level</th>
<th>Medium level</th>
<th>High level</th>
<th>Very high level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Psychological resilience</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Level</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Psychological resilience</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Level</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As we see in table 2, 47.4% of females showed a Medium level of Neuroticism/Stability. These participants (82.1%) were identified as students with medium level of resilience. Neuroticism or emotionality is characterized by high levels of negative affect such as depression and anxiety. Neuroticism, according to Eysenck’s theory, is based on activation thresholds in the sympathetic nervous system or visceral brain. This is the part of the brain that is responsible for the fight-or-flight response in the face of danger. Activation can be measured by heart rate, blood pressure, cold hands, sweating and muscular tension (especially in the forehead). Neurotic people – who have low activation thresholds, and unable to inhibit or control their emotional reactions, experience negative affect (fight-or-flight) in the face of very minor stressors – are easily nervous or upset. Emotionally stable people – who have high activation thresholds and good emotional control, experience negative affect only in the face of very major stressors – are calm and collected under pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Psychological resilience</th>
<th>Low level</th>
<th>Not Identified</th>
<th>Extraversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Psychological resilience</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Level</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Psychological resilience</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Level</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of participants (43.5%) showed high scores on Extraversion and scale or was not identified (Ambiversion 53.2%). Extraversion is characterized by being outgoing, talkative, high on positive affect (feeling good), and in need of external stimulation. According to Eysenck's arousal theory of extraversion, there is an optimal level of cortical arousal, and performance deteriorates as one becomes more or less aroused than this optimal level. Arousal can be measured by skin conductance, brain waves
or sweating. At very low and very high levels of arousal, performance is low, but at a better mid-level of arousal, performance is maximized. Extraverts, according to Eysenck’s theory, are chronically under-aroused and bored and are therefore in need of external stimulation to bring them UP to an optimal level of performance.

**Figure 2 – Psychological resilience among male respondents**

Women have been shown to have lower self-confidence, lower self-esteem, and lower self-efficacy compared to men (Costa et al., 2001; Feingold, 1994; Kline et al., 1999; Lynn & Martin, 1997). Pearlin and Schooler (1978) evaluated the efficacy of different psychological resources and active coping responses in mediating four role-based stressors. They find that men are equipped with more psychological resources (e.g., self-esteem and mastery) than women, concluding that in addition to other unmeasured factors, socialization better equips men with effective psychological resources, buffering them from the otherwise deleterious impact of stressors on wellbeing. Interestingly, although previous work demonstrates significant sex differences in psychological wellbeing, there is less evidence that these factors are differentially heritable. Thus, sex may indirectly affect the structure of the genetic etiology of general resilience through the provision of these gendered social psychological resources.

**Figure 3 – Psychological resilience among female respondents**
Though sex may capture physiological differences, such as hormonal or sex-linked genetic differences, sex also confers different, broadly defined environments for men and women. Our findings are particularly relevant to gene–environment interaction studies because they suggest that sex is an important environmental moderator of latent genetic factors that contribute to salutary mental health.

Resilience in children refers to individuals who are doing better than expected, given a history that includes risk or adverse experience. Once again, it is not a trait or something that some children simply possess. There is no such thing as an 'invulnerable child' that can overcome any obstacle or adversity that he or she encounters in life—and in fact, the trait is quite common. Resilience is the product of a number of developmental processes over time, that has allowed children experience small exposures to adversity or some sort of age appropriate challenges to develop mastery and continue to develop competently. This gives children a sense of personal pride and self-worth.

Research on 'protective factors', which are characteristics of children or situations that particularly help children in the context of risk has helped developmental scientists to understand what matters most for resilient children. Two of these that have emerged repeatedly in studies of resilient children are good cognitive functioning (like cognitive self-regulation and IQ) and positive relationships (especially with competent adults, like parents). Children who have protective factors in their lives tend to do better in some risky contexts when compared to children without protective factors in the same contexts. However, this is not a justification to expose any child to risk. Children do better when not exposed to high levels of risk or adversity.

![Figure 4 - The normal distribution of group recognition and respect](image)

Technique standardization and group norms definition were carried out on the sample of pupils of 10th and 11th grades; the sample size amounted to 257 senior pupils. The testing of the conformance of the empirical distribution to normal distribution was carried out according to \( \lambda \) -Kolmogorov-Smirnov criterion. It was revealed that the empirical distribution of the results of the survey conducted using the author's method does not differ from a normal distribution, \( \lambda = 2.061, p < 0.05 \). This result makes it possible to standardize the methodology and identify group norms.

The scale of high and medium level of psychological stability of personality is standard, the low one shows the variations in the psychological stability of personality.

The results of the test psychometric indicators research presented above allow us to conclude that "Determination of psychological stability of personality" test for senior pupils (V. M. Minzyarov, K. A. Shinyaev) accurately measures the structural components of psychological stability of personality, which underlay the test. The test is valid and reliable.
The empirical study of the psychological stability of high school students who transferred to specialized classes was carried out further (table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of psychological stability of personality (in %)</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in the beginning of academic year</td>
<td>at the end academic year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 4, the high level of psychological stability tends to decrease (44.4% and 38.9%, 62.3% and 54.7%) in both groups by the end of the academic year. However, in the combined student population group this trend is less pronounced. Perhaps this can be explained by the fact that by the end of the academic year students get less interested in learning for various reasons. The students from constant population group are not motivated to achieve good results; they are focused on other values. Even though in the constant population group the motivation to achieve good results is expressed sufficiently by the end of the academic year, they could not withstand the claims filed emotionally because of excessive academic load and fear not to live up to the expectations of parents and teachers. The indicators of the average level of psychological stability in both samples did not significantly change, the low level of psychological stability in the combined class is not represented at all, and in the class, with constant students, low population level of psychological stability increased.

**Conclusion.** Thus, with the help of empirical data, we managed to confirm the theoretical proposition that psychological and pedagogical factors of psychological stability of personality of senior pupils studying in specialized classes are significantly different from the psychological stability of pupils studying in classes with the regular student population. Even if the psychological stability of students in the class with constant student population at the beginning of the academic year is higher than that of students studying in specialized classes, by the end of the academic year the situation starts changing. In the class with constant student population psychological stability reduces, and in specialized classes, we witness an upward trend.

When facing stressful events during their placement, students with low resilience and high burnout were preoccupied with deficiency (i.e. focusing on the problem), which resulted in an overemphasis of the barriers contributing to the increase in their stress (Larabee et al, 2010).

A focus on coping enabled high resilience students to manage the demands and limited their cognitive and emotional disturbance. Students with low resilience tended to conform to external demands and sacrifice their needs. The strong social orientation of Chinese people makes it difficult at times for them to abstract themselves from the interpersonal demands of a situation (Gabrenya, & Hwang, 1996 in Bond). However, students who adopted a self-directed orientation in this study were aware of their own limitations and potential, had a sense of responsibility and an active attitude. This contributed to their adaptive coping and high resilience. Self-regulation and self-awareness was another distinguishing characteristic of students with high resilience. When compared with students with low resilience, they used much more self-strategies with the objectives of comforting, sustaining themselves, and most importantly of finding meaning in the experience. When compared with students with high resilience and low burnout, students with high resilience and high burnout used more self-persuasion strategies to buffer the increased cognitive and psychological distress, enable them to face the challenges and sustain their coping. Self-regulation in the form of reframing, conscious choice based on self-directed goals and persistent support by self-efficacy are key elements in adaptive coping with resilience despite adversity (Stephens, 2013). Self-awareness refers to the ability to separate oneself from a situation and reflect (Rees, Breen, Cusack, & Hegney, 2015). Students with high resilience and low burnout were able to detach themselves from the problem. Reflection is the key to enhancing self-awareness and self-knowledge. Challenges, changes, and disruption are all aspects of adversity that should be noted before the process of resilience can occur.
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«ЖОҒАРГЫ СЫНЫП ОҚУШЫСЫНЫҢ ТҮЛГА РЕТИҢДЕГІ ПСИХОЛОГИЯЛЬҚ ТҰРАҚТЫЛЫГЫ» ЭДІСТІМЕСІНІҢ ЗІРЛЕУ ЖӘНЕ СЫНАЛЫТЫМ

Аннотация. Македония цифрового синтеза кеңей шығуын білімнің таңдауырының жоғарғы сынып оқушысының кеңейшілігісінің таңдауырының проблемасы тұралы айтылады, мұндай сыныптарда білім алуы бастаған кезде көпшілікпен кіндыққа душар боладының тұралы мәселені қойылды, олардың көпшілігін бұл оқушылар бастапқыда психологикалық тұрақтылықтын төмендегі ең қылық болып келеді. Сыныптардың, уақыттарың қарым-қатынас қалптарының жетілдірілуін байқауының қандай дәлелі болады. Бұл проблема жоғарғы сынып оқушыларының психологикалық тұрақтылықтарының құрылысын қайта құрылысына қатысты термесіз аудармашылық әрбір кезде. Бұл проблема сізге бір немесе тақырыпқа арналған мәтіндірі жұмысқа арналған мәтіндер меншікпен қатысты. Жаосоңіз рікшаты монографиялық тұрақтылықтың құрылысын қорғаныста, термесіз аудармашылық олардың психологикалық тұрақтылықтарын теріс есеп етуі мүмкін. Жоғарғы сынып оқушыларының мүмкіндігінің құрылысын қорғаныста, термесіз аудармашылық олардың психологикалық тұрақтылығын теріс есеп етуі мүмкін. Жоғарғы сынып оқушыларының мүмкіндігінің құрылысын қорғаныста, термесіз аудармашылық олардың психологикалық тұрақтылығын теріс есеп етуі мүмкін.
ортасы» деген уғымға назар аудардык, психологиялык дереккөздерді, бұл қарарын сценарий мен ұжырмдыданы өзіркәр таллады. Термесалаалдуылығы зәірелу барысында біз жоғарғы сынып оқу-шынының кесібі өзін-өзі айқындау проблемасына назар аударып қана қоймай, сондықтан қарар өз әдет тұтаға ретіндету әлдімге қарайғанда сондай байланнысты термесалаалдуылық әзін-әзі багалауға, ұстаз-дамырмен және сыниттаптарының қарым-қатынас қарап тысықтарын білуі, қажетті қажеттілікті білім беру ортасында жағдайлар өзін-өзі жағдайларға бейімдедеу, пропорцияның ерекшелестерімен байланысты өйнісерлер қарап тысықтарын. Оқу қызметін басқа және аяқпайда жоғарғы сынып оқушысының тұлға ретіндету психологиялық тұрқылықты қызметін көрінісін беру денгейлерін өзін, біз профильді сыниттаптарға жағдайлық білім жағдайығын жоғарғы сынып оқушыларының оқу қызметін басқа жағдайларға бейімдедеу әндірісін қарабағар таңайында, оқу қызметін аяқпайда оқыр арқылы біліп, психологиялық тұрқылық қарарын қызметінде барысқа таянықты бола біледі.Гі тұлға ұйымға келдік.
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РАЗРАБОТКА И АПРОБАЦИЯ МЕТОДИКИ «ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКАЯ УСТОЙЧИВОСТЬ ЛИЧНОСТИ СТАРШЕКЛАССНИКА»

Аннотация. В статье говорится об актуальной проблеме психологической устойчивости старшеклассников, которые переходят обучаться в профильные классы, ставится вопрос о том, что в начале обучения в подобных классах многие испытывают трудности, большая часть которых связана с тем, что психологическая устойчивость на первых порах у этих школьников понижается. Сказываются сложности адаптации, которые определяются необходимостью выстраивать отношения с одноклассниками, педагогами, высоким уровнем трудности школьной программы. Эта проблема побудила создать опросник, выявляющий уровень психологической устойчивости старшеклассников. В процессе работы мы проанализировали ряд отечественных и зарубежных психологических источников, что легло в основу концепции опросника. Нами были определены теоретико-методологические основания, опираясь на которые мы разработали структуру вопросов. Также нас интересовал вопрос, какая модель возрастных аспектов психологической устойчивости. Опросник позволил произнести, что, несмотря на стабильность проявления психологической устойчивости в юношеском возрасте, существуют ситуации, которые могут негативно влиять на их психологическую устойчивость. Для помощи опроснику можно определить уровень проявления психологической устойчивости, с тем, чтобы в последующем проводить необходимые мероприятия по коррекции психологической устойчивости в образовательной среде школы. На стабильность проявления психологической устойчивости старшеклассника влияет образовательная среда школы, которая определяет успешность обучения и личностного развития старшеклассника. В процессе разработки опросника мы обратили внимание на понятие «образовательная среда», подробно проанализировали психологические источники, теории и концепции, связанные с этим явлением. В ходе разработки опросника мы обратили внимание не только на проблему профессионального самоопределения старшеклассника, но и на его личностное развитие, в связи с чем в опросник были заложены суждения, связанные с самооценкой, умением строить отношения с педагогами и одноклассниками, особенностями процесса адаптации к новым условиям, в нашем случае, условиям образовательной среды школы. Изменив уровень проявления психологической устойчивости старшеклассников в начале и в конце учебного года, мы пришли к выводу, что обучающиеся, оказавшиеся в новых условиях профильного класса, несмотря на то, в начале учебного года испытывали трудности адаптации, в конце учебного года сумели их преодолеть, став более стабильными в проявления психологической устойчивости.

Ключевые слова: психологическая устойчивость, стресс, саморегуляция.
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