NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN SERIES OF GEOLOGY AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES

ISSN 2224-5278

Volume 6, Number 438 (2019), 6 – 11

https://doi.org/10.32014/2019.2518-170X.150

Aang Panji Permana^{1, 2}, Subagyo Pramumijoyo², Akmaluddin²

¹Gorontalo State University, Gorontalo, Indonesia, ²Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. E-mail: aang@ung.ac.id, bagyo@ugm.ac.id, akmaluddin@gmail.com

UPLIFT RATE OF GORONTALO LIMESTONE (INDONESIA) BASED ON BIOSTRATIGRAPHY ANALYSIS

Abstract. The uplift rate of Gorontalo limestone is highly dependent on the completeness of age and depth data. All data needed can be obtained based on complete biostratigraphy analysis. The research material used was a 24 meter thick limestone out crop. The aim of the research was to determine the absolute age of limestone, paleobathimetry and uplift rate of limestone in the research area. The three methods used consisting of the measured section(MS), biostratigraphy analysis and tectonic analysis. Bio datum in the limestone is only one, namely LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens*. Determination of paleobathimetry using two methods. The uplift rate of limestone is 0.0699-0.0724 mm/year.

Keywords: uplift rate, limestone, Gorontalo, biostratigraphy.

Introduction. The Indonesian archipelago is geologically the center of the meeting of three of world's main active plates, the Indian-Australian Plate which moves north-northeast, the Pacific Ocean Plate moves west-northwest and the Eurasian Continent Plate is almost static [1-4].

Sulawesi Island, which is located in the central part of the Indonesian Archipelago resembles the letter K. This form is influenced by the movement of the three main plates of the world. Based on regional physiography, Sulawesi Island is divided into South Arm, Middle Part, North Arm, East Arm, Southeast Arm and Neck [4].

Gorontalo which is part of the North Arm of Sulawesi has very complex geological conditions due to tectonic influences. The spread of quarter age limestone in the Gorontalo plains and Pliocene-Plistocene limestone around Lake Limbo to are evident. The effect of tectonics is very strong, especially from the position of reef limestone that is quarter age in the Gorontalo region. This reef limestone undergoes a very strong elevation, proven by field data near Gorontalo and the northern coast of Tanjung Daka [4-6].

The influence of tectonics on uplift rate limestone in Gorontalo has yet to be known how fast until now. This is inseparable from the data of limestone of Gorontalo that is regionally by dividing it into two large formations without knowing the absolute age and paleobathimetry so that the uplift rate of Gorontalo limestone is unknown. For this reason, based on the background, three main objectives of this research were raised, which are, to find out the absolute age of limestones, to know paleobathimetry and uplift rate of limestone.

Material and Method. The research location is in Yosonegoro region, Gorontalo Regency, which is the northern part of the Lake Limbo to basin with coordinates (00°39'6.7222"North, 122°54'50.0385"East) to (00°39'6.9397" North, 122°54'57.5275"East) (figure 1). 24 meter high limestone outcrop research material. The research method carried out consisting of three methods namely the measured section (MS), biostratigraphy analysis and tectonic analysis. The MS method measures the detail of the coating using a Jacob's staff at 1.5 meter intervals by systematic lithology sampling from the oldest to the youngest rocks [7, 8]. Biostratigraphy analysis using the Olympus SZ61 binocular microscope to identify the types of fossil planktonic and benthonic for a mini ferain determining the age of rocks and paleobathimetry [9-19]. Tectonic analysis to calculate the uplift rate based on absolute age data of limestone, paleobathimetry and current position of elevation [20-22].




Figure 1 – Location of limestone research in Limboto area, Gorontalo Regency, Indonesia

Results and discussion. The measured section results at the research location helped to determine the thickness of one stratigraphy path way and determine the exact position of the sample for biostratigraphy analysis. A total of 10 samples that carried out biostratigraphy analysis based on facies obtained from measured section results. The samples taken certainly contain planktonic foraminifera fossils and bentonic based on grain size and the content of foraminifera fossils.

Biostratigraphy analysis in 10 limestone samples contained 17 types of planktonic foraminifera fossil. The distribution of 17 types of planktonic foraminifera fossil can be seen in figure 2. Based on figure 2, interpretation and analysis of the distribution of the biostratigraphy zone and the position of the bio datum in the stratigraphy path way can be done. The research location is divided into two biostratigraphy zones, with details as follows:

1. Zone PL2

Definition: The upper boundary of PL2zone is not found. The lower boundary is LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens*.

Discussion: This zone is *Globoquadrina dehiscens* partial range zone. ZonePL2 (part) is equivalent to the zone PL2 [14] and zone N19 [23]. This zone represents the youngest planktonic foraminifera (top). LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* [14, 24] were found in 3G samples. The contents of fossil association in this zone are *Globigerinoi desimmaturus* (deLeroy), *Globigerinoi desquadrilobatus* (d'Orbigny), *Globigerinoi desruber* (d'Orbigny), *Globigerinoi dessacculiferus* (Brady), *Globorotalia humerosa* (Takayanagi and Saito), *Globorotalia plesiotumida* (Blow and Banner), *Pulleniatina precursor* (Banner and Blow) and *Pulleniatina obliquiloculata* (Parker and Jones). In this sample also found rework fossils in the form of *Globorotalia juanai* (Bermudez and Bolli) and *Globorotalia menardii* (d'Orbignyin Parker, Jones and Brady). The presence of this species is estimated to be reworks originating from older rocks. The thickness of this zone is 13 meters (interval 11-24 meters)

Age: Early Pliocene. ? – 5,80Ma.

2. Zone PL1

Definition: The upper boundary is LO Globoquadrina dehiscens. The lower boundary is not found.

Discussion: This zone is *Globoquadrina dehiscens* partial range zone. Zone PL1 is equivalent to the zone PL1 [14]and zone N18 [23]. The initial datum of this zone is not found. At the end of this zone is LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* [14, 24]. LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* was found in 3G samples. The presence of *Globoquadrina dehiscens* in samples of 3B, 3C, 3D, 3E, 3F and 3G. This species was not found in the samples above, namely 3H, 3I and 3J samples.

The contents of fossil association in this zone are Globigerinoi desimmaturus (de Leroy), Globigerinoi desquadrilobatus (d'Orbigny), Globigerinoi desquadrilobatus (deOrbigny), Globigerinoi desruber

(d'Orbigny), Globigerinoi dessacculiferus (Brady), Globigerinoi dessubquadratus (Bronnimann), Globorotalia acostaensis (Blow), Globorotalia humerosa (Takayanagi and Saito), Globorotalia tumidatumida (Brady), Hastigerinaa equilateralis (Brady), and Pullenia tinapracursor (Banner and Blow). In this sample also found rework fossil in the form of Globorotalia continuosa (Blow) and Globorotalia juanai (Bermudez and Bolli). The presence of this species is estimated to be reworks originating from older rocks. The thickness of this zone is 11 meters (interval 1 - 11 meters).

Age: Early Pliocene. 5,80 - ? Ma.




Figure 2 – Distribution chart danbiozo nation planktonic foraminifera in research area

Based on the division of two biostratigraphy zones, the position of the bio datum of LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* was found at a thickness of 11 meters, namely the 3G sample. Based on the classification of [14] the absolute age of LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens* was 5.80 Ma (million years ago). After knowing the absolute age, the interpretation of the position of the elevation location of the study and paleobathimetry were interpreted in the 3G sample.

The elevation position of the research location is based on direct measurements using the Global Positioning System(GPS) which is 70 meters.

The Paleobathimetry of 3G sample based on biostratigraphy analysis refers to two methods, namely the method of [9] and the combined method of [11, 25]. The Paleobathimetry of 3G sample refers to the method of [9] using the calculation of the formula equation $D = e^{(0.0061.P + 1.25)}$. D value is depth while P is the pelagic ratio. The requirement forusing this formula equation is the P value must be known from the comparison of the content of the number of fossils of planktonic and benthic foraminifera. The P value in sample 3G after being calculated is 75% so that the value of D or paleobathimetry can be known as 338.661 meters.

The Paleobathimetry of 3G sample refers to the method of [11] based on the overlay of benthic foraminifera fossil content. The fossil content of benthic foraminifera consists of *Fijinonionfijiense* (Cushman and Edwards), *Gyrodinoidessoldanii* (d'Orbigny), *Melonisaffinis* (Reuss), *Nonionfabum* (FichtelandMoll), *Pararotaliavenusta* (Brady), *Praeglobobulimina ovata* (d'Orbigny), *Rhabdammina-discreata* (Brady) and *Saccorhizaramosa* (Brady). Based on the content of benthic foraminifera fossil, paleobathimetry is obtained in the uppers lope zone with a depth of 283.65-366 meters. The Paleobathimetry obtained is still in the range of depth, then the paleobathimetry correction curve [25] was used by looking for the middle value (median) obtained 324.825 meters

Calculation of the uplift rate in the research area was carried out by comparing the total depth of the limestone since it was formed or deposited until this time divided by the absolute age of the limestone. The total depth value of the limestone summed the thickness of the bio datum position from MS result with the current elevation position and paleobathimetry. Calculation of limestone elevation using two data paleobathimetry namely the method of [9] and the combined method of [11,25]. The results of calculating the limestone uplift can be seen in table.

Method	Paleobathimetry (meters)	Elevation (meters)	Bio datum position (meters)	Uplift rate (mm/year)	Rate comparison
[9]	338.661	70	11	0.0724	1:1
[11, 25]	324.825	70	11	0.0699	

Calculation Comparison of Limestone Uplift Rate

Comparative analysis of the uplift rate of limestone using both methods produces almost the same results. Difference in the ratio of the two uplift rates if rounded 1: 1 or the uplift range 0.0699-0.0724 mm/year.

Conclusion. Biozonation of plangtonic foraminifera in the research area consisted of two, namely PL1Zone and PL 2Zone with bio datum of LO *Globoquadrina dehiscens*(5.80Ma) equivalent to the Early Pliocene. The depth of limestone was calculated based on the calculation of the total position of the bio datum of MS results with the current elevation position and paleobathimetry. Based on the data of absolute age and limestone depth since it was formed until it was elevated up to its current position, the uplift rate of limestone in the study are aranged from 0.0699-0.0724 mm/year.

Acknowledge. I would like to thank *Educational Fund Management Agency* (LPDP) for helping me provide the educational scholarships through *Indonesian lecturer main scholarship* (BUDI DN).

Аанг Панджи Пермана^{1, 2}, Сабаджио Прэмамиджойо², Акмалуддин²

 1 Горонтало мемлекеттік университеті, Горонтало, Индонезия, 2 Гаджа Мада университеті, Джокьякарта, Индонезия

БИОСТРАТИГРАФИЯСЫН ТАЛДАУ НЕГІЗІНДЕ ГОРОНТАЛО (ИНДОНЕЗИЯ) ӘКТАСЫНЫҢ КӨТЕРІЛУ ЖЫЛДАМДЫҒЫ

Аанг Панджи Пермана^{1, 2}, Сабаджио Прэмамиджойо², Акмалуддин²

¹Государственный университет Горонтало, Инженерно-геологический факультет, Горонтало, Индонезия, ²Университет Гаджа Мада, Инженерно-геологический факультет, Джокьякарта, Индонезия

СКОРОСТЬ ПОДЪЕМА ИЗВЕСТНЯКА ГОРОНТАЛО (ИНДОНЕЗИЯ) НА ОСНОВЕ АНАЛИЗА БИОСТРАТИГРАФИИ

Аннотация. Скорость подъема известняка Горонтало очень сильно зависит от полноты и глубины данных. Все необходимые данные могут быть получены на основе полного анализа биостратиграфии. В качестве исследовательского материала использовался слой известняка толщиной 24 м. Целью исследования явилось определение абсолютного возраста известняка, палеобатиметрии и скорости поднятия известняка на исследуемой территории. Используются три метода, состоящие из измерительного разреза (ИР), биостратиграфического анализа и тектонического анализа. В качестве исходного био-уровня в известняке использовался только LO Globoquadrina dehiscens. Определение палеобатиметрии проводилось с использованием двух методов. Скорость подъема известняка составила 0,0699-0,0724 мм/год.

Ключевые слова: скорость подъема, известняк, Горонтало, биостратиграфия.

Information about authors:

Aang Panji Permana, Gorontalo State University, Geological Engineering Department, Gorontalo, Indonesia; Universitas Gadjah Mada, Geological Engineering Department, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; aang@ung.ac.id; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6865-3564

Pramumijoyo Subagyo, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Geological Engineering Department, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; bagyo@ugm.ac.id; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7524-1122

Akmaluddin, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Geological Engineering Department, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; akmaluddin@gmail.com

REFERENCES

- [1] Hamilton W. (1979) Tectonics of the Indonesian region. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1078, U.S. Govern. Printing Office, Washington. U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 1078. P. 345.
- [2] Silver E.A., McCaffrey R., Smith R.B. (1983a) Collision, rotation and the intiation of subduction in the evolution of Sulawesi, Indonesia // J. Geophysic. Res. 88, B11: 9407-9418.
- [3] Hutchison C.S. (1989) Geological evolution of Southeast Asia. Oxford Monograph on Geology and Geophysicc no 13, Oxford. P. 368.
- [4] Sukamto R., Ratman N. (2013) Quartenary rock, In :Surono., Hartono U (Eds), Sulawesi geology, Center for Surveying Geological Resources. LIPI Press: 110-112.
- [5] Katili J.A. (1970) Large transcurrent faults in southeast asia with special reference to Indonesia // International Journal of earth Science. 59 (2): 581-600.
- [6] Bachri S., Partoyo E., Bawono S.S., Sukarna D., Surono., Supandjono J.B. (1997) Regional geology of Gorontalo, North Sulawesi. Collection of research and mapping results papers // Center for geological research and development: 18-30.
 - [7] Compton R.R. (1985) Geology in the field. Wiley Press-New York. P. 416.
- [8] Bukhsianidze M., Chagelishvili R., Lordkipanidze D. (2018) Late Miocene Vertebrate Site of ChachunaIori valley, Georgia, Southern Caucasus // Bulletin of the Georgian National Academy of Sciences. 12(2): 70-75.
- [9] Van Marle L.J., Van Hinte J.E., Nederbragt A.J. (1987) Plankton percentage of the foraminiferal fauna in seafloor samples from the Australian-Irian Jaya continental margin, eastren Indonesia. Marine Geology. 77: 151-156.
- [10] Van der ZwaanG.J., Jorissen F.J., De Stigter H.C. (1990) The depth dependency of planktonic/benthic foraminiferal ratios: constraints and applications. Marine Geology 95: 1-16.
 - [11] Jones R.W. (1994) The Challenger Foraminifera. Oxford: Oxford University Press. P. 149.
- [12] Chaisson W.P., Pearson P.N. (1997) Planktonic foraminifer biostratigraphy at site 925: middle miocene-pleistocene // In: Shackleton N.J., Curry W.B., Richter C., Bralower T.J. (Eds.). Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results 154: 3-31.
 - [13] Nichols G. (2009) Sedimentology and Stratigraphy. London: Blackwell Science Ltd. P. 335.

- [14] WadeB.S., Pearson P.N., Berggren W., Palike H. (2011) Review and revision of cenozoic tropical planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and calibration to the geomagnetic polarity and astronomical time scale // Earth Science Riview. 104:111-142.
- [15] Chunlian L., Yi H., Jie W., Guoquan Q., Tinting Y., Lianze X., Suqing Z. (2012) Miocene-pliocene planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Pearl River Mouth Basin, Northern South China Sea // Journal of Palaeogeography. 1(1): 43-56.
- [16] Ghosh A.K., Sarkar S. (2013) Facies analysis and paleoenvironmental interpretation of Piacenzian carbonate deposits from the Guitar Formation of Car Nicobar Island, India // Geoscience Frontiers. 4: 755-764.
- [17] Martins M.V.A., Silva F., Laut L.L.M., Frontalini F., Clemente I.M.M.M., Miranda P., Figueira R., Sousa S.H.M., Dias J.A.M. (2015) Response of benthic foraminifera to organic matter quantity and quality and bioavailable concentrations of metals in Aveiro Lagoon (Portugal) // PLoS ONE 10 (2): 1-23. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118077.
- [18] Roozpeykar A., Moghaddam I.M. (**2016**) Benthic Foraminifera as Biostratigrpahical and PaleoecologicalIndicators: An Example from Oligo-Miocene Deposits in the SW of Zagros Basin, Iran // Geoscience Frontiers 7: 125-140.
- [19] Oladimeji A., Adeyinka S.A., Adekeye O.A., Olesegum O., Emmanuel O.F. (2017) Foraminifera Biostratrigraphy and Depositional Environment of Sediment in Sile Well Offshore Dahomey Basin Benin Republic // Mayfeb Journal of Environmental Science. 1:18-33.
- [20] Berggren W.A. (1992) Paleogene planktonic foraminifera magnetobiostratigraphy of the Southern Kerguelen Plateau (Sites 747-749) // In Wise S.W., Jr., Schlich R., et al. // Proc. ODP, Sci. Results, 120 (Pt. 2): College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program): 551-568.
- [21] Barianto D.H., Kuncoro P., Watanabe K. (2010) The use of foraminifera fossils for reconstructing the Yogyakarta graben, Yogyakarta, Indonesia // J. SE Asian Apl. Geol. 2(2): 138-143.
- [22] Shen C.C., Wu C.C., Dai C.F., Gong S.Y. (2018) Variable uplift rate through time: Holocene coral reef and neotectonics of Lutao, eastern Taiwan // Journal of Asian Earth Sciences. 156: 201-206.
- [23] Blow W.H. (1969) Late middle eocene to recent planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy // In: Brönnimann P., Renz H.H. (Eds.). Proceedings of the First International Conference on Planktonic Microfossils, E.J. Brill, Leiden 1: 199-422.
- [24] Berggren W.A., Hilgen F.J., Langereis C.G., Kent D.V., Obradovich J.D., Raffi I., Raymo M.E., Shackleton N.J. (1995a) Late neogene chronology: new perspectives in highresolution stratigraphy // Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 107: 1272-1287.
- [25] Van Hinte J.E. (1978) Geohistory Analysis Application of Micropaleontology in Exploration Geology // The American Association of Petroleum Geologist Bulletin. 62 (2): 201-222.
- [26] Akhmediyarova A.T., Kuandykova J.R., Kubekov B.S., et al. Objective of modeling and computation of city electric transportation networks properties // Conference: International Conference on Information Science and Management Engineering (ICISME) Location: Thailand. Date: DEC 20-21, 2015. Sponsor(s): AdvSci&Ind Res Ctr. 2015. P.106-111. Published: 2015.
- [27] Ildar Valiev, Yury Koshlich, Mussayeva D. Management innovations for enterprise development and effective decision making // Journal of Entrepreneurship Education. Vol. 21, Special Issue, 2018. ISSN: 1098-8394