FOLKLORE AND IDENTITY: HISTORY, MEMORY AND MYTH-MAKING IN THE MODERN VISUAL CULTURE OF KAZAKHSTAN

Abstract. The culture plays an essential role in the process of strengthening of the self-identity. Art creativity and visual art is a sensitive and truthful barometer that visually demonstrates the true state of things. The themes, events, heroes, promises are the basis of the art work, they are clearly or indirectly expressed as a kind of projection of the most relevant cultural trends in society.

The article explores the place, significance and authenticity of traditional folklore and historical subjects in the contemporary pictorial arts of Kazakhstan (painting, graphics and sculpture). The authors consider folklore as not just an interesting and distinctive phenomenon that is capable of decorating any subject, but an important indicator which reflects the complex and often contradictory processes of the formation and strengthening of the nation identity.

The problematic field of the study is the results of two national art competitions "Kazakh folk epic. History and traditions of the Kazakh people" (2012) and "Babalar ansagan Taulalsizdi" ("Independence, bequeathed by ancestors") (2016), which are organized by the A. Kasteev State Museum of Arts of the Republic of Kazakhstan under the patronage of the Ministry of Culture and Sports of the Republic of Kazakhstan. These most large-scale and prestigious events for a quarter of the century of Independence, in addition to an obvious picture of the artistic life state in the country, also convincingly demonstrate the degree of knowledge and awareness of the society about own historical and cultural heritage.

The theoretical and methodological basis of the article is artistic-philosophical and cultural-historical methods, the hermeneutical basis of which allows to make a more comprehensive look at the problem of figurative and semantic interpretations, also an axiological method capable for revealing the value orientations of modern culture.

The result of the article is an analysis of the socio-cultural potential of visual arts in the process of implementing some key tasks of Modernization 3.0 and the State Program "Ruhani Zhangirn", exactly, the preservation of own culture, national identity and national code.
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Introduction. Formation of national self-identification is a complex process, which takes place at the crossroad of many factors. In Kazakhstan, a young independent state, the strengthening of identity depends on such key elements as the knowledge of one's own history and the activity of socio-cultural memory, the systemic development of which is "the most important ideological function of the state" [1, 265]. Creation and promotion of new values that can unite history and reality is one of the priorities of modern cultural policy, designed to unite the people of Kazakhstan even more. The visual arts play an important role in solving this problem (sculpture, painting, drawing). And the last one has always been a powerful ideological tool, which forms and transmits the necessary meanings.

Traditional folklore links historical and cultural memory in many ways. The degree of presence of folkloric beginning in the society life, its "woven" into the real picture of the world demonstrates the stability of the cultural code. Folklore as an important element of cultural memory is designed to recreate the history, saturate symbolic meaning of symbolic events and people. According to J. Assman this is a process that unites individual identities into a collective identity and cementing it [2, 43, 55]. A. Dandes considered folklore as a kind of "mirror of culture" and an indicator of identity [3, 54], [4, 140-150].

The main goal of the article is to analyze the degree of comprehension by the society of its own historical and cultural heritage through a conscious appeal to traditional folklore and historical subjects.
Research focuses on the results of the republican (2012) and national (2016) art competitions - the two largest and most prestigious events in the creative life of the country since independence.

We suppose that the tasks put forward to the competition participants can be considered as a kind of "state order". And the results of these events reveal not only the professional level of the domestic art community, but also the measure of its immersion in their cultural code.

At the moment, one can singled out a certain number of clichés which "wandering" from one work to another. Usually these are pathos scenes, the historicity of which, like a belonging to some traditional folklore motif, can be questioned by the professional scientific community. Although any author has the right to artistic fiction, but free treatment of historical and folklore material is fraught with inevitable myth-making.

It should be emphasized that the intention of the authors of article does not include an appeal for criticism to certain specific personalities. We need an analytical look at the subject and figurative language of the works submitted to the competitions, to highlight the most stable images and plots on basis of which artists and sculptors construct their era.

Methods. The methodological basis of the article is the artistic-philosophical and cultural-historical methods, their hermeneutical potential allows to see more objectively the features of figurative and semantic interpretations. The axiological method helps to identify the value orientations of modern Kazakhstani culture. Also, the authors used analytical, retrospective and comparative-historical approaches.

Results. After gaining an independence Kazakhstan faced a global task of creating and promoting a national idea. The multiculturalism became a fundamental element in the formation of the cultural identity of Kazakhstan in 1990-2000s. Considering the expressed polytechnic composition of Kazakhstan people, multiculturalism has great potential for further active socio-cultural development.

Today multiculturalism in many respects is the semantic core of the state cultural policy of Kazakhstan, on its basis a new cultural identity is being constructed for a quarter of a century. And although this process is very complicated and ambiguous, it confidently moves forward[5,173-174], [6, 495], [7, 267].

Kazakhstan faces a few global challenges, some of which can be substantially resolved through well thought-out and balanced mechanisms of cultural policy. The issue of preserving identity has a paramount importance in Kazakhstan as for most states with a "colonial heritage". And here cultural identity and art that translates its values are the most effective tools [8, 208-209].

Independence has largely changed the strategy for the development of visual art. The era when everything was "impossible" eclipsed the time "everything is possible." The Kazakhstani creative community actively "joined" the global world. Many national artists (especially the late 90's early 2000's), striving to stand out, focused on originality. The idea of "returning to the origins" was understood literally, which was expressed through the large-scale exploitation of "national originality."

Often very professionally written / fashioned horses, batyrs, wolves, golden eagles, etc. have external effectiveness, but they are devoid of inner meaning, logic, imaginative content. Also, often we see a weak, and sometimes a complete lack of knowledge of traditional folklore and ornaments, an arbitrary interpretation of historical facts.

All this complicately affect the plot-semantic dimension of a work and / or creative credo of the artist. Such kind of precedents are appeared more and more, and they reflect a real picture of the representation and understanding by the society of its cultural code. We believe that the potential threat based on the transmission of "wrong" stories through different types of art, and here the special place is assigned to the visual types as the most demonstrated and popular.

In 2012, A. Kasteev State Museum of Arts of the Republic of Kazakhstan (further SMA RK) and ArLine company initiated the first republican creative contest "Kazakh national epic. History and traditions of the Kazakh people". This large-scale cultural project was devoted to the Independence Day of the Republic and its work was dedicated to the creation of high artistic paintings, significant in content and technical performance.

The competition was aimed at popularizing academic art, that's why Kazakh professional artists, which have completed higher or secondary specialized art education, were invited to participate. The works should have been created no earlier than 2012, exclusively in a large format, oil technology and a realistic manner.
Despite of its standard formal requirements It is not difficult to see the essence of the competition: it is not as the "popularization of Domestic art" as the desire to see the true trends in the development of modern artistic consciousness of Kazakhstan as a projection of national identity.

We also believe that another goal of the competition was the desire to induce the country's creative elite to create highly professional works, which not only worthy of decorating the best museums but capable to become as chrestomathy art works and powerful means of translating spiritual values and aesthetic expression of different facets of the national idea. In this case, folklore was a specific identification marker, expressing one of its most vivid manifestations - the traditional epic.

The authoritative jury allowed to participate one hundred and seven people in the competition, whose canvases satisfied all parameters: theme, size, manner and material. All works were available for public viewing, first in the halls of the State Art Museums of RK, then on the pages of the exhibition catalog.

It was interesting how the artists imagined the task. The majority of participants preferred abstract standard subjects on the "national" theme like rituals, customs and holidays (dastarkhan, cutting of puts, celebration of forty days for a newborn, etc.); household scenes (guests - refreshments, cooking, mother and baby, jailau); pathos events (hunt hunting, proclamation into khans, batyr's soaths, soldier's farewell, etc.); allegorical / symbolic subjects (heritage, shamanism, long road, eternal nomadic life, etc.).

Analyzing the subject of the works, it was obvious that the general theme put forward by the organizers was "Kazakh folk epic". History and traditions of the Kazakh people "were most often represented by illustrations of customs and traditions. It is important that it was epos, not just epic, which was required, which in turn neither had sufficient credibility in most works nor was totally absent from the position of art criticism. Only few contestants directly appealed to the Kazakh epic.

Three works - "Deep. Baluen Sholak and Galia "(author Dosbol Kasyrov)" Adyraspan "(author Azhibekuly Kazakbai) and "Khan's hunting" (author Yesengali Sadyrbayev) shared three prizes. Seven works - "Kambat batyr", "Bayan", "Abylay Khan. Khan elections", "Besik orda ", " Batyr babalar ", " Dedication to the Kazakh soldiers who fell for their native homeland. Anirakay battle ", " Zheti kazyna "were awarded with encouraging places.

It should be noted that nine of ten winner artists are representatives of the so-called generation X (all born between 1960-80). Their youth and creative development happened to be in the 80-90s of the twentieth century - the time of "stagnation" and its fundamental changes. These painters are distinguished by the "old" (professional) school, a stable picture of the world, a certain knowledge of traditional folklore and its perception as an important element of cultural memory [9,60].

In general, the jury and visitors of the contestant’s exhibition noted that, firstly, the art level of the paintings was lower than expected; secondly, the required epic-folkloric principle in most works is not expressed / misunderstood / not felt. The first point we explain that the modern Kazakh school of academic realistic painting is experiencing a certain stagnation, often giving way to salon and actual (conceptual) art. In the second case, we see alarming signs of a weakening of ethnic memory, as many works demonstrate superficial ideas about traditional culture, folklore, national history and their interrelation.

This competition was the first, and, despite of certain inconsistencies between the desired and the real had important results:
- there were exposed long overdue ideological, plot and technical problems in the creative environment;
- The open format of the competition allowed young artists (especially from regions) to test themselves on a high professional platform;
- experts of the art, culture and education fields (history, ethnography, literature, cultural studies, art education, art) faced the issue of conceptual changes in study programs at different levels and the need for serious, systematic research in the field of their own intangible cultural heritage and ways of its transmission.

We would like to mention one more detail: we think this contest for the first time clearly indicated the problem of the responsibility of the author’s art work. For some reason, the society persistently believes that the artist as a kind of "vessel of God" can be guided only by his talent and vision. All plots and heroes chosen by him, even on historical themes, become "artistic reminiscence", which automatically removes the question of the potential "secondary" of figurative-semantic content and its authenticity.
We supposed that especially in such areas as history and folklore, artists who intends to broadcast important moments (sometimes key events that form the national historical narrative) should have a high degree of moral obligations in front of society.

Four years later, in March 2016, the Ministry of Culture and Sports of the Republic of Kazakhstan and the A. Kasteev State Museum of Arts announced the National competition for the creation of works of painting and sculpture, dedicated to the history of the Kazakh people "Babalar ansagan Taulsizdik" ("Independence, bequeathed by ancestors").

The competition was dedicated to the significant date of the 25th anniversary of the country's Independence. This project considered the experience of past years, the organizers developed objective requirements, indicating the purpose, objectives and recommended ways for their implementation. The results of the contest were available for public viewing in the format of two large-scale exhibitions in Astana and Almaty, as well as in the pages of the colorful catalog.

So, the goal was "to promote patriotic education through methods of artistic imagery and the embodiment of important historical events and personalities of our state in the context of the values of the national idea" Mangilik El ". Improvement and enhancement of professional artistic level of art masters, creating a competitive environment for artists". The artists as historians and scholars, writers and philosophers were declared chroniclers of history, spiritual culture, the life of the nation and the country [10].

The key mission of the contest was the formation of single cultural space of the country and a new comprehension of the history of Kazakhstan people through stimulating the interest of the domestic creative community to historical genre.

We emphasize that in addition to standard conditions for contestans, professional artistic education, novelty of works (not earlier than 2016), realistic manner, canvas-oil (for painting), bronze-aluminum marble (for sculpture), large works, were announced two more important and principled wishes: the plots should be specific historical episodes of national history before the beginning of the twentieth century; the priority will be works where the author can originally, sensibly and convincingly show the not so popular stories of the history of Kazakhstan people.

In contrast to the competition in 2012, there were supposed to conduct two qualifying stages. On the first, sketches of future works were considered and approved. The sketch must necessarily be accompanied at least by two-page explanatory note-justification for the historiography of the selected plot and the author's conceptual design. At the second stage, the organizing committee determined the work that responded all the requirements and allowed to participate in the competition.

Considering the importance and scope of the competition tasks, as well as the degree of responsibility of the masters, the SAM RK organized an open lecture-hall for all potential participants of the contest inviting leading historians and art historians of the country. There were several lectures on the history of the Kazakh Khanate and New Times (Speaker –expert on the social and political history of Kazakhstan, problems of pre-revolutionary and foreign historiography, candidate of historical sciences Erofeeva I.V), specific historical genre in the visual arts system - professor of T. Zhurgenov KazNAA, candidate of art history Baturina O.V).

It should be noted that one third of the works were rejected at the first stage. The main reason for this was, in addition to technical issues (size, manner), thematic inconsistency with the tasks of the project. So, for example, in the presence of the necessary artistic professionalism, some authors ignored the specific plot requirements of the competition and again turned to the popular "national" motives (dastarkhans, caravans on the Silk Road, batyr fights, nomadic events, etc.). Some of the masters could not provide a clear and historically objective explanatory note. Some artists experienced obvious difficulties with realistic academic technique or were professionally weak.

The hard selection made this competition a serious national project. The works admitted to participation in most cases showed that Kazakh artists were much more consciously and responsibly concerned with the state task.

So, except standard pathos stories (we think here more meaningful), the masters focused on really concrete and non-popular historical episodes and heroes (The Battle of Bulent, The Reception of Russian Ambassadors by Khan Abulkhair, The Holodomor, Orazmukhamedkhan Kasimovsky at the reception of Tsar Boris Godunov "," Mission of the Russian Ambassador A.I Tevkelev at the rate of Abulkhair Khan "," Ketbuga "," Shynkozha batyr "," Satay batyr "," Akhan seri", "Kozhbanet bi", etc.).
Some participants, understanding of all the semantic, figurative and emotional complexity, turned to the tragic pages of the people history and could show this clearly and convincingly ("Aktaban shubyrndy", "Holodomor-bread", "Oh my people (Elim-ay)") "The shooting of the insurgents", etc.

Critically analyzing the results of this competition, it is obvious that, despite the ambitiousness of this project, the national status, prestige (big prizes to winners, prize works remain in the collection of the State Museum of the RK, the opportunity to significantly increase their authority, etc.) the number of participants was less than in 2012. Among 90 declared masters, only 67 could overcome the qualifying stage.

We explain this by the complexity of the requirements and responsibility that imposed on potential participants. Not all painters and sculptors who are now relatively well-known in the national creative community, specialize in a quality realistic manner of performance. The masters who seriously work in the historical genre and avoids the cliché salon plots are even less.

The triptych "Aktaban shubyrndy" (author Dauren Kasteev) won the first place, the second and third places were won by the works "Visit of Kuzym Sultan to forge" (by Meirbek Nurgozhin) and "On the eve of the formation of the Golden Horde" (author Aset Zhakypbek). The "Holodomor - Bread" painting (author Dauren Makin) received an encouraging prize.

"Aktaban shubyrndy" ("the years of the great disaster") is a real event of the 18th century, a kind of historical watershed for the Kazakh people. This tragic breakthrough period of "getaway, while the soles did not turn white" played a key role for the people, who found the strength to unite and win. Widely known in the oral folklore tradition, this topic has never become a plot for visual art.

"Visit of the Forge of Kassym Sultan" is a calm, peaceful work. There is no authenticity here, the plot can be considered as a household one. But this canvas in its figurative and semantic structure immediately recalls the best examples of foreign realistic painting of the XIX century. The forge is the place where the victory is forged, and Kasim Sultan is one of the six men in the smithy. The artist could show the masculinity, greatness of the warrior without the standard stands (white koshmas, crowds of subjects, brocade gowns, etc.). The boundless steppe on the horizon, the fire what tamed by strong hands, the cold shine of steel ammunition, the faithful horse and the stern male fraternity of equal strength and spirit are the true message of this work.

"On the eve of the Golden Horde formation" - a more symbolic theme than realistic (here they mean not the manner, but the imagery). But the work "Holodomor - Bread" is extremely penetrating and persuasive in its restrained tragedy. We think that the master was able to visualize this terrible period in our history and satiate it with epic.

This project needed historical authenticity, a considerable degree of scientific and high artistic professionalism. Maybe not all goals have been achieved, but the following results are certainly significant:
- Young artists (generation Y) appeared among the prize-winners of the competition;
- the composition of participants significantly rejuvenated;
- some well-experienced masters decided only to participate in the final exhibition, but not in the competition;
- Some young participants, in contrast to many experienced and well-deserved, tried to exactly perform all the conditions of the competition, especially the plot requirements.

**Conclusion.** Today one of the most important issues in the field of artistic creativity and culture is: Is the art able to independently form new models of sociocultural and political identity as well as reflecting them? [11, 38]. This problem is especially relevant for young states seeking harmonization ways for national identity, identity and civil rights.

The results of the two competitions, with a difference of four years, show a positive trend. Firstly, such large projects were carried out for the first time and largely revived the cultural environment as a whole (artists painted the pictures, journalists and the public actively participated in discussion, art historians, culture experts and teachers received food for thought); secondly, many problem areas were revealed in the comprehension of national history and cultural heritage; Thirdly, during these several years many artists (especially young people) began to understand the importance of their capabilities and their mission in strengthening the national idea.

The appeal of creative people to history and heritage, their serious study, the desire for authenticity of subjects, meanings and entourage, greatly influences the reduction of mythological tendencies, relieves
excessive pathetic. In order to promote the nation's self-consciousness, it is necessary to create art works which are meaningful from an artistic and historical point of view and capable for becoming specifically textbook.

We mean their value as not only museum exhibits, but as bright and memorable confirmations of important facts from textbooks of history, archeology, cultural studies and art history. We know many such examples. At one time, the civil and creative activity of the artists formed in Russia a powerful visual basis for progressive ideology. New generations of young people recognize and remember their history and folklore heritage through the paintings of I. Repin, V. Surikov, V. Vasnetsov, I. Kramskoy, Perov and other artists of that period.

The images of Chokan Valikhanov, Amangeldy Imanov, the young Abai Kunanbayev, which were created in the last century by A. Kasteev, today have erstwhile status. We cannot imagine our folk heroes differently. And when we read the Kazakh epics, we see Alpamys and Kobland Batyras they were painted by V. Sidorkin and I. Isabaev.

Art is one of the most effective methods of drawing attention to the needs and problems of society. A sharpened intuition and a special vision place artist in the vanguard of the sociocultural development of society. Therefore, their role in the formation and promotion of the most important values and meanings is extremely effective. But the artist at the same time is able not only to display something that he observes. Having a talent, the master can express (sometimes even unconsciously) something that has not expressed yet, but already exists.
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ФОЛЬКЛОР ЖӘНЕ СӘЙКЕСТІЛІК: ҚАЗАҚСТАННЫҢ ҚАЗИРГІ ЗАМАНЫҢ МӘДЕНИЕТІНДІГІ ТАРИХ, ЖАДЫ ЖӘНЕ АҢЫЗ ШІҒАРМАШЫЛЫҚ

Аннотация. Өлінің сәйкестілігін ығыс тәріздеп қарқының мәдениет аса мәнінде рәл аткарды. Керекші шығармашылық және дәнді ой қызмет, визуалды өнер қазақтардың шынайы жазықтың көрсететін серікті және ұллі барометр болып табылады. Андан немесе жаңы арнайы тақырыптар, оқиғалар, кейінкілерлер, тұрғы – өнер түндігі қысқы, өз ақылы мәдени төріздердің өзгеше қескіні релі атқарды.
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Макала Қазақстанның қазіргі заманы бейнелеу әнеріндегі (кескіндеме, графика және музей) достурлар өдіндері, және түпнұсқалығының зерттеле алар және дүкендердің өріс. Мұндай түпнұсқалықтардың суреттерін және өкініш каналдарынан және зерттейді. Авторлар әсерлерің анықтауды қалыптастыру және әкімшілікқа келетін өкінішінен қызмет етті. Автоттардың зерттейді үшін, бұл анықтама әкімшіліктің міндетті ретінде қарастырады.
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ФОЛЬКЛОР И ИДЕНТИЧНОСТЬ: ИСТОРИЯ, ПАМЯТЬ И МИФОТВОРЧЕСТВО В СОВРЕМЕННОЙ ВИЗУАЛЬНОЙ КУЛЬТУРЕ КАЗАХСТАНА

Аннотация. В процессе выяснения собственной идентичности культуры играет чрезвычайно важную роль. Художественное творчество и, в частности, визуальное искусство является чутким и правдивым барометром, наглядно демонстрирующим истинное положение вещей. Явно или упосредовано темы, события, герои, посвящены, - основа произведения искусства, выступают своеобразной проекцией самой актуальных культурных тенденций в социуме.

Статья исследует места, значение и аутентичность традиционных фольклорных и исторических сюжетов в современном изобразительном искусстве Казахстана (живопись, графика и скульптура). Авторы рассматривают фольклор не просто интересным и самобытным феноменом, способным украсить любой сюжет, но важным индикатором, отражающим сложные и часто противоречивые процессы формирования и укрепления идентичности нации.

Проблемным полем исследования являются итоги двух национальных художественных конкурсов «Қазақский народный эсис. История и традиции казахского народа» (2012) и «Бапова есімсіз құрал. Қазақ халықының тарихы мен достурлары» («Независимость, завещанная предками») (2016), организованных Государственным музеем искусств Республики Казахстан им. А. Кастеева под патронажем Министерства культуры и спорта Республики Казахстан. Эти самые масштабные и престижные мероприятия за четверть века Независимости помимо очевидной связи состояния художественной жизни страны еще и убедительно демонстрируют степень знания и осознания социумом собственного историко-культурного наслоения.

Теоретико-методологической основой статьи выступают художественно-философский и культурно-исторический методы, герменевтическая основа которых позволяет более объемно взглянуть на проблему образных и смысловых интерпретаций, а также аксиологический метод, способный выявить ценностные ориентиры современной культуры.

Результатом статьи видится анализ социокультурного потенциала визуальных искусств в процессе осуществления некоторых ключевых задач Модернизации 3.0 и Государственной Программы «Рухани Жаны», а именно - сохранении своей культуры, национальной идентичности и национального кода.

Ключевые слова: фольклор и история, визуальные искусства, идентичность, Казахстан
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