

NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN

PHYSICO-MATHEMATICAL SERIES

ISSN 1991-346X

Volume 2, Number 318 (2018), 66 – 74

UDC: 517.983 : 517.986

K.S. Tulenov¹, D. Dauitbek²

^{1,2} Researcher, Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling, Almaty, Kazakhstan;

^{1,2} PhD, lecturer, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan

tulenov@math.kz, dauitbek@math.kz

**THE COMPLETENESS
OF THE NONCOMMUTATIVE $H_E^{(A, \ell_\infty)}$ SPACE**

Abstract. Considering the commutative case, we know that a maximal function $f = \sup_n |f_n|$ belongs to $L_p(\mu)$ if and only if there is a factorization $f_n = cz_n = z_n c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c \in L_p(\mu)$ and $\sup_n \|z_n\|_\infty < \infty$. The theory of vector-valued noncommutative L_p -spaces are introduced first time by Pisier in 1998. Pisier considered the case M is hyperfinite. This theory solved maximal function's problem in noncommutative case. Later in 2002 Junge and Xu introduced general case. By using these noncommutative vector valued L_p -spaces Junge solved noncommutative version of Doob's maximal inequality problem in general case.

The noncommutative vector-valued Hardy spaces were introduced in [2]. In this paper, we consider maximal function's problems on noncommutative Hardy spaces. For this reason we introduce a noncommutative vector-valued symmetric Hardy space.

Our aim is discover their properties. It is presented another useful proof of completeness of this space. We also obtain factorization theorem like Saito's theorem. The work is mostly theoretical. The results can be used to further develop of noncommutative martingale theory, noncommutative ergodic theory, and operator valued Hardy spaces theory.

Key words: von Neumann algebra, τ -measurable operator, subdiagonal algebra, noncommutative symmetric space, noncommutative Hardy space.

1 Preliminaries and Introduction

Let $S(0,1)$ be the space of all measurable real-valued functions on $(0,1)$ equipped with Lebesgue measure m (functions which coincide almost everywhere are considered identical).

For $x \in S(0,1)$ we denote by $\mu(x)$ the decreasing rearrangement of the function $|x|$. That is,

$$\mu(t, x) = \inf \{s \geq 0 : m(\{|x| > s\}) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Definition 1 We say that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a symmetric Banach function space if the following holds.

(a) E is a subset of $S(0,1)$.

(b) $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space.

(c) If $x \in E$ and if $y \in S(0,1)$ are such that $|y| \leq |x|$, then $y \in E$ and $\|y\|_E \leq \|x\|_E$.

(d) If $x \in E$ and if $y \in S(0,1)$ are such that $\mu(y) = \mu(x)$, then $y \in E$ and $\|y\|_E = \|x\|_E$.

Furthermore we recall that the norm in E is said to be order continuous if, for every sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset E$ such that $x_n \downarrow 0$ in $S(0,1)$, we have that $\|x_n\|_E \rightarrow 0$. Order continuity of the norm is equivalent to separability of the space E (see [10]).

Special examples of such Banach function spaces are the spaces $L_p(0,1)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, equipped with their usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$.

We recall that every symmetric Banach function space satisfies

$$L_\infty(0,1) \subset E \subset L_1(0,1)$$

with continuous embeddings.

We say that y is submajorized by x in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood (written $y \leq x$) if

$$\int_0^t \mu(s, y) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s, x) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

Now let E be a Banach lattice. Let $0 < r < \infty$. Then E is said to be r -convex and r -concave, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all finite sequence (x_n) in E

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\|_E \leq C \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_E^r \right)^{1/r},$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_E^r \right)^{1/r} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\|_E,$$

and as usual the best constant $C > 0$ is denoted by $M^{(r)}(E)$ and $M_{(r)}(E)$, respectively. We recall that for $r_1 \leq r_2$ we have

$$M^{r_1}(E) \leq M^{r_2}(E)$$

and

$$M_{r_2}(E) \leq M_{r_1}(E).$$

For all needed information on convexity and concavity we once again refer to [10]. If $M^{\max(1,r)}(E) = 1$, then the r 'th power

$$E^r := \{x \in L_0(\Omega) : |x|^{1/r} \in E\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_{E^r} = \left\| |x|^{1/r} \right\|_E^r$$

is again a Banach function space which is $1/\min(1, r)$ -convex.

Let \mathbf{H} be a Hilbert space. The closed densely defined linear operator x in \mathbf{H} with domain $D(x)$ is said to be affiliated with M if and only if $uxu = x$ for all unitary operators u which belong to the commutant M' of M . An operator x affiliated with M is said to be τ -measurable, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a projection e in M such that $e(\mathbf{H}) \subseteq D(x)$ and $\tau(1-e) < \varepsilon$. The set of all τ -measurable operators will be denoted by $L_0(M)$. The set $L_0(M)$ is a *-algebra with sum and product being the respective closure of the algebraic sum product [12]. For each x on \mathbf{H} affiliated with M , all spectral projection $e_s^\perp(|x|) = \chi_{(s;\infty)}(|x|)$ corresponding to the interval $(s; \infty)$ belong to M , and $x \in L_0(M)$ if and only if $\chi_{(s;\infty)}(|x|) < \infty$ for some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Recall the decreasing rearrangement (or generalized singular numbers) of an operator $x \in L_0(M)$ is defined as follows

$$\mu(t, x) = \inf\{s > 0 : \lambda_s(x) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0$$

where

$$\lambda_s(x) = \tau(e_s^\perp(|x|)), s > 0.$$

The function $s \mapsto \lambda_s(x)$ is called the distribution function of x . For more details on generalized singular value function of measurable operators we refer to [7]. Recall the construction of a Banach symmetric operator space $L_E(M, \tau)$ (for convenience $L_E(M)$). Let E be a Banach symmetric function space. Set

$$L_E(M, \tau) = \{x \in L_0(M, \tau) : \mu(x) \in E\}.$$

We equip $L_E(M, \tau)$ with a natural norm

$$\|x\|_{L_E(\mathbb{D}, \tau)} = \|\mu(x)\|_E, \quad x \in L_E(M, \tau).$$

It was further established in [15] that $L_E(M, \tau)$ is Banach.

Since for each operator $x \in L_0(M)$

$$\mu(|x|^r) = \mu(x)^r,$$

we conclude for every symmetric Banach function space E on the interval $(0,1)$ which satisfies $M^{\max(1,r)}(E) = 1$ that

$$L_{E^r}(M) := \{x \in L_0(M) : |x|^{1/r} \in L_E(M)\}$$

and

$$\|x\|_{L_{E^r}(M)} = \|\mu(|x|)\|_{E^r} = \|\mu(|x|^{1/r})\|_E^r = \|x|^{1/r}\|_{L_E(M)}^r.$$

See [3, 5].

Let M be a finite von Neumann algebra on the Hilbert space H equipped with a normal faithful tracial state τ . Let D be a von Neumann subalgebra of M , and let $\Phi: M \rightarrow D$ be the unique normal faithful conditional expectation such that $\tau \circ \Phi = \tau$. A finite subdiagonal algebra of M with respect to Φ is a w^* -closed subalgebra A of M satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $A + J(A)$ is w^* -dense in M ;
- (ii) Φ is multiplicative on A , i.e., $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$;
- (iii) $A \cap J(A) = D$, where $J(A)$ is the family of all adjoint elements of the element of A , i.e., $J(A) = \{a^* : a \in A\}$.

The algebra D is called the diagonal of A . It is proved by Exel [6] that a finite subdiagonal algebra A is automatically maximal in the sense that if B is another subdiagonal algebra with respect to Φ containing A , then $B = A$.

For brevity, we introduce the following definition which was defined in [1].

Definition 2 Let E be a symmetric Banach space on $(0,1)$ and A be a finite subdiagonal subalgebra of M . Then $H_E(A) = [A]_{L_E(M)}$ called symmetric Hardy space associated with A , where

$[\cdot]_{L_E(M)}$ means closure in the norm of $L_E(M)$. We denote $[A_0]_{L_E(M)}$ by $H_E^0(A)$.

The theory of vector-valued non-commutative L_p -spaces were introduced by Pisier in [11] for the case, when M is hyperfinite and Junge introduced these spaces for general setting in [8] (see also [4, 9]). The theory for the space $L_E(M; \ell_\infty)$ was developed by Defant in [3] and Dirksen in [5] and in full analogy with the special case $L_E = L_p$ considered in [4, 8, 9]. In fact, most of the basic results follow verbatim as soon as were replaced L_p by L_E , $L_{p'}$ by L_{E^\times} , where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, and L_{2p} by $L_{E^{1/2}}$ in the proofs of those results.

Let us denote by $L_E(\mathbf{M}; \ell_\infty)$ the space of all families $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L_E(\mathbf{M}, \tau)$ for which there are operators $a, b \in L_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{M})$ and a uniformly bounded sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbf{M} such that there is a factorization $x_n = ay_n b$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We set

$$\|x\|_{L_E(\mathbf{M}; \ell_\infty)} := \inf \{\|a\|_{L_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{M})} \sup_n \|y_n\|_\infty \|b\|_{L_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{M})}\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all such possible factorizations. Moreover, we denote by $L_E(\mathbf{M}; \ell_\infty^{col})$ (here "col" should remind on the word "column") the space of all $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L_E(\mathbf{M})$ for which there are $b \in L_E(\mathbf{M})$ and a bounded sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbf{M} such that $x_n = y_n b$ for all n . We then put

$$\|x\|_{L_E(\mathbf{M}; \ell_\infty^{col})} := \inf \{\sup \|y_n\|_\infty \|b\|_{L_E(\mathbf{M})}\}.$$

Similarly, the row version consisting of all families $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ admitting a factorization $x_n = ay_n$ with $a \in L_E(\mathbf{M})$ and $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ bounded in \mathbf{M} is denoted by $L_E(\mathbf{M}; \ell_\infty^{row})$ and we define

$$\|x\|_{L_E(\mathbf{M}; \ell_\infty^{row})} := \inf \{\|a\|_{L_E(\mathbf{M})} \sup \|y_n\|_\infty\}.$$

In both cases the infimum is again taken over all possible factorizations.

Now we define the analogue of this space by a similar way.

Definition 3 We define $H_E(\mathbf{A}; \ell_\infty)$ as the space of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $H_E(\mathbf{A})$ which admit a factorization of the following form: there are $a, b \in H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})$, and a bounded sequence $y = (y_n) \subset \mathbf{A}$ such that

$$x_n = ay_n b, \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

Given $x \in H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)$ define

$$\|x\|_{H_E(\mathbf{A}; \ell_\infty)} := \inf \{\|a\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \sup_n \|y_n\|_\infty \|b\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})}\}, \quad (2)$$

where the infimum runs over all factorizations of (x_n) as above. Moreover, let us define $H_E(\mathbf{A}; \ell_\infty^{col})$ as the space of all $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $H_E(\mathbf{A})$ for which there are $b \in H_E(\mathbf{A})$ and bounded sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbf{M} such that $x_n = y_n b$ and

$$\|x\|_{H_E(\mathbf{A}; \ell_\infty^{col})} := \inf \{\sup_n \|y_n\|_\infty \|b\|_{H_E(\mathbf{A})}\}. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, we define the row version $H_E(\mathbf{A}; \ell_\infty^{row})$ all sequences which allow a uniform factorization $x_n = ay_n$, again with $a \in H_E(\mathbf{A})$ and $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ uniformly bounded in \mathbf{M} .

This space with $H_p(\mathbf{A}; \ell_\infty)$ was introduced in the paper [13, 14, 2] with some basic properties.

Section 1 contains some preliminary definitions. In section 2, we prove that $H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)$ is Banach space.

2 Main results

Theorem 1 Let E be an r -convex symmetric Banach space on $(0,1)$ for some $0 < r < \infty$. Assume E does not contain c_0 . Then $H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)$ is Banach space.

Proof. Let us first check that $\|\cdot\|_{H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)}$ satisfies triangle inequality. Let $(h_n^{(1)}), (h_n^{(2)}) \in H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)$, choose a factorization of $h^{(j)}$ with $j = 1, 2$:

$$h_n^{(j)} = a^{(j)} x_n^{(j)} b^{(j)}, \forall n$$

such that

$$\|a^{(j)}\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)} = \|b^{(j)}\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)} = \|(h_n^{(j)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\sup_n \|x_n^{(j)}\|_\infty \leq 1 + \varepsilon, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

Indeed, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ choose a factorization $h_n^{(j)} = c^{(j)} y_n^{(j)} d^{(j)}$, $\forall n \geq 1$ with $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$c^{(j)} \in H_{E^{1/2}}(A), \quad d^{(j)} \in H_{E^{1/2}}(A), \quad \sup_n \|y_n^{(j)}\|_\infty = \alpha$$

and

$$\|(h_n^{(j)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)} (1 + \varepsilon) \geq \left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} c^{(j)} \right\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)} \sup_n \left\| \frac{y_n^{(j)}}{\alpha} \right\|_\infty \left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{(j)} \right\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)}. \quad (5)$$

Then by choosing

$$a^{(j)} = \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(h_n^{(j)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)}^{1/2} c^{(j)}}{\left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} c^{(j)} \right\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)}}, \quad b^{(j)} = \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(h_n^{(j)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)}^{1/2} d^{(j)}}{\left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{(j)} \right\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)}}$$

and

$$x_n^{(j)} = \frac{\left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} c^{(j)} \right\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)} \left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{(j)} \right\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)} y_n^{(j)}}{\alpha \|(h_n^{(j)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)}},$$

we obtain

$$a^{(j)} x_n^{(j)} b^{(j)} = c^{(j)} y_n^{(j)} d^{(j)} = h_n^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

and

$$\|a^{(j)}\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)} = \|h_n^{(j)}\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)}^{1/2},$$

$$\|b^{(j)}\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(A)} = \|h_n^{(j)}\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)}^{1/2}.$$

Let $a^{(j)} = |(a^{(j)})^*| u^{(j)}$ and $b^{(j)} = v^{(j)} |b^{(j)}|$ be the polar decompositions of $(a^{(j)})^*$ and $b^{(j)}$, respectively. Then $u^{(j)} x_n^{(j)} v^{(j)} \in M$, so we can substitute $x_n^{(j)}$ by $u^{(j)} x_n^{(j)} v^{(j)}$ and therefore we are allowed to assume that the $a^{(j)}$ and $b^{(j)}$ are positive for $j = 1, 2$. Define operators:

$$a := (\|(a^{(1)})^*\|^2 + \|(a^{(2)})^*\|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } b := (\|b^{(1)}\|^2 + \|b^{(2)}\|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

clearly,

$$\|a\|_{E^2(A)}^2 \leq \left\| (a^{(1)})^* \right\|_{E^2(A)}^2 + \left\| (a^{(2)})^* \right\|_{E^2(A)}^2 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left\| (h_n^{(1)}) \right\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)} + \left\| (h_n^{(2)}) \right\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

a similar inequality holds for b with norm $\|\cdot\|_E^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Remark 2.3 in [4] there exist contractions $\omega^{(j)}, \theta^{(j)} \in M$ such that $|(a^{(j)})^*| = a(\omega^{(j)})^*$, $|b^{(j)}| = \theta^{(j)}b$ and

$$(\omega^{(1)})^* \omega^{(1)} + (\omega^{(2)})^* \omega^{(2)} = r(a^2), (\theta^{(1)})^* \theta^{(1)} + (\theta^{(2)})^* \theta^{(2)} = r(b^2).$$

And, since $a^{-1}, b^{-1} \in M$ and $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a, b \in L_E(M)$, by Proposition 4.3. (i) in [1] there exist the unitary operators $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)} \in M$ and $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)} \in A$ such that $a^{-1} = v^{(1)}w^{(1)}$ and $b^{-1} = w^{(2)}v^{(2)}$, where $(w^{(1)})^{-1}, (w^{(2)})^{-1} \in H_{E^{1/4}}(A)$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} h_n^{(1)} + h_n^{(2)} &= (w^{(1)})^{-1}[(v^{(1)})^{-1}(\omega^{(1)})^* u^{(1)} x_n^{(1)} v^{(1)} \theta^{(1)} \\ &\quad + (\omega^{(2)})^* u^{(2)} x_n^{(2)} v^{(2)} \theta^{(2)} (v^{(2)})^{-1}] (w^{(2)})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the sequence

$$y_{(1)} := (v^{(1)})^{-1}(\omega^{(1)})^* u^{(1)} x_n^{(1)} v^{(1)} \theta^{(1)} + (\omega^{(2)})^* u^{(2)} x_n^{(2)} v^{(2)} \theta^{(2)} (v^{(2)})^{-1}.$$

Since $y_n = (w^{(1)})^{-1}[h_n^{(1)} + h_n^{(2)}](w^{(2)})^{-1} \in H_r(A)$ by Proposition 4.3. (ii) in [1] $y_n \in H_r(A) \cap M = A$. Consider for each fixed n the following mapping:

$$U : \mathbf{M}_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_2(M)$$

defined by

$$U(X) = \begin{pmatrix} (\omega^{(1)})^* & (\omega^{(2)})^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & u^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} X \begin{pmatrix} v^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & v^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta^{(1)} & 0 \\ \theta^{(2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} y_n^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & y_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_2(M).$$

We need to show that $\|y_n\| \leq 1$.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n\| &= \left\| (v^{(1)})^{-1}[(\omega^{(1)})^* u^{(1)} x_n^{(1)} v^{(1)} \theta^{(1)} + (\omega^{(2)})^* u^{(2)} x_n^{(2)} v^{(2)} \theta^{(2)}] (v^{(2)})^{-1} \right\| \\ &= \left\| (\omega^{(1)})^* u^{(1)} x_n^{(1)} v^{(1)} \theta^{(1)} + (\omega^{(2)})^* u^{(2)} x_n^{(2)} v^{(2)} \theta^{(2)} \right\| = \|U(X)\| \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (\omega^{(1)})^* & (\omega^{(2)})^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & u^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \|X\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & v^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \theta^{(1)} & 0 \\ \theta^{(2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \omega_1^* \omega_1 + \omega_2^* \omega_2 \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \theta_1^* \theta_1 + \theta_2^* \theta_2 \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|r(a^2)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|r(b^2)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(h_n^{(1)} + h_n^{(2)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)} &\leq \|c\|_{H_E^{1/2}(A)} \sup_n \|y_n\| \|d\|_{H_E^{1/2}(A)} \\ &\leq (\|c^{(1)}\|^2 + \|c^{(2)}\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|d^{(1)}\|^2 + \|d^{(2)}\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\|c^{(1)}\|^2 + \|c^{(2)}\|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|d^{(1)}\|^2 + \|d^{(2)}\|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|(h_n^{(1)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)} + \|(h_n^{(2)})\|_{H_E(A, \ell_\infty)} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the desired triangle inequality. To show the completeness, we take a Cauchy sequence $(h_n^{(j)}) \in H_E(A, \ell_\infty)$ for which we may assume without loss of generality that for all k

$$\|(h^{(j)} - h^{(j+1)})\|_{H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)} < \frac{2^{-3j}}{2}, \quad (j=1, 2\dots).$$

Define for each N the sequences

$$\eta_{(\cdot)}^N := \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} h_{(\cdot)}^{(j+1)} - h_{(\cdot)}^{(j)}$$

in $H_E(\mathbf{A})$.

First, we need to show that all of them belong to $H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)$ for all N and that

$$\|\eta^N\|_{H_E(\mathbf{A}, \ell_\infty)} \leq 2^{-N+1}.$$

Let

$$h^{(j)} - h^{(j+1)} = a^{(j)} x_{(\cdot)}^{(j)} b^{(j)}$$

with

$$\|a^{(j)}\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq 2^{-j}, \|b^{(j)}\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq 2^{-j}, \sup_n \|x_n^{(j)}\|_\infty \leq 2^{-j}.$$

As above, we may assume that $a^{(j)}$ and $b^{(j)}$ are positive, obviously

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(a^{(j)})^*|^2 \in H_{E^{1/4}}(\mathbf{A}), \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b^{(j)}|^2 \in H_{E^{1/4}}(\mathbf{A})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(a^{(j)})^*|^2 \right\|_{H_{E^{1/4}}(\mathbf{A})} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|(a^{(j)})^*\|^2_{H_{E^{1/4}}(\mathbf{A})} = \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|(a^{(j)})^*\|^2_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|a^{(j)}\|^2_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2j} \leq 1; \end{aligned}$$

similarly,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b^{(j)}|^2 \right\|_{H_{E^{1/4}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|b^{(j)}\|^2_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|b^{(j)}\|^2_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq 1.$$

Define $a := (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(a^{(j)})^*|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})$ and $b := (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b^{(j)}|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(a^{(j)})^*|^2 + \varepsilon \right\|_{H_{E^{1/4}}(\mathbf{A})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(a^{(j)})^*|^2 \right\|_{H_{E^{1/4}}(\mathbf{A})} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|a_j\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})}^2 + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|b\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

So by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\|a\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq 1$ and $\|b\|_{H_{E^{1/2}}(\mathbf{A})} \leq 1$. Let $a^{(j)} = |(a^{(j)})^*| u^{(j)}$ and $b^{(j)} = v^{(j)} |b^{(j)}|$. On the other hand, according to Remark 2.3 in [4] there exist contractions $\omega^{(j)}, \theta^{(j)} \in M$ such that $|(a^{(j)})^*| = a(\omega^{(j)})^*$ and $|b^{(j)}| = \theta^{(j)} b$ as above. Thus

$$\zeta_{(\cdot)}^N = \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\omega^{(j)})^* u^{(j)} x_{(\cdot)}^{(j)} v^{(j)} \theta^{(j)}.$$

Hence $\sup_n \|\eta_n^N\|_\infty \leq 2^{-(N-1)}$. So we obtain

$$\|\zeta_{(\cdot)}^N\| \leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|(\omega^{(j)})^* u^{(j)} x_{(\cdot)}^{(j)} v^{(j)} \theta^{(j)}\| \leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|x_{(\cdot)}^{(j)}\| \leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \leq 2^{-(N-1)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^N &= \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} a^{(j)} x^{(j)} b^{(j)} = \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} |(a^{(j)})^*| u^{(j)} x_n^{(j)} v^{(j)} |b^{(j)}| \\ &= \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} a(\omega^{(j)})^* u^{(j)} x_n^{(j)} v^{(j)} \theta^{(j)} b = a \left(\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\omega^{(j)})^* u^{(j)} x_n^{(j)} v^{(j)} \theta^{(j)} \right) b = a \zeta^N b. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\eta^N \in H_E(A; \ell_\infty)$$

and

$$\|\eta^N\|_{H_E(A; \ell_\infty)} \leq \|a\|_{H_{E^{1/2}(A)}} \sup_n \|\zeta_n^N\|_\infty \|b\|_{H_{E^{1/2}(A)}} \leq 2^{-(N-1)}.$$

So

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N (h^{(k+1)} - h^{(k)}) - \eta^1 \right\|_{H_E(A; \ell_\infty)} = \|\eta^{N+1}\|_{H_E(A; \ell_\infty)} \leq 2^{-N} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (h^{(k+1)} - h^{(k)}) \rightarrow \eta^1.$$

Therefore we get $h^{(N+1)} \rightarrow h^{(1)} + \eta^1$ conclusion.

The work was supported by the grant from the Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bekjan T.N. Noncommutative symmetric Hardy spaces// Integral Equations Operator Theory. – 2015. V. 81. P. 191–212.
- [2] BekjanT.N., TulenovK., DauibekD. The noncommutative $H_p^{(rs)}(\mathcal{A}; \ell_\infty)$ and $H_p(\mathcal{A}; \ell_1)$ spaces// Positivity. 2015. V. 19. P. 877–891.
- [3] DefantA. Classical summition in Commutative and Noncommutative L_p -spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics// Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 2011. P. 80–95.
- [4] DefantA., JungeM. Maximal theorems of Menchoff-Rademacher type in non-commutative L_q -spaces// J.Funct. Anal. 2004. V. 206. P. 322–355.
- [5] DirksenS. Noncommutative Boyd interpolation theorems//Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 2015. V.367. P. 4079–4110.
- [6] Exel R. Maximal subdiagonal algebras// Amer.J.Math. 1988. V. 110. P. 775–782.
- [7] FackT., KosakiH. Generalized s-numbers of τ -measurable operators//Pac. J. Math. 1986. V. 123. P. 269–300.
- [8] JungeM. Doob's inequality for non-commutative martingales//J. Reine Angew. Math. 2002. V. 549. P. 149–190.
- [9] JungeM.,XuQ. Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems//J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2007. V. 20. P. 385–439.
- [10] Lindenstrauss J., TzafririL. Classical Banach space II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.243 p.
- [11] PisierG. Non-commutative vector valued L^p -spaces and completely p-summing maps//Astérisque. 1998. V.247. P. 1–131.
- [12] PisierG.,XuQ. Noncommutative L^p -spaces//Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces. – 2003. V. 2. P. 1459–1517.
- [13] TulenovK.S. Noncommutative vector-valued symmetric Hardy spaces//Russian Mathematics. – 2015. V.59. № 11. P. 74–79.

[14] TulenovK.S. Some properties of the noncommutative $H_p^{(r,s)}(\mathcal{A}; \ell_\infty)$ and $H_p(\mathcal{A}; \ell_1)$ spaces//AIP Conference Proceedings. 2015. V.1676. № 020093. P. 1–6.

[15] XuQ. Analytic functions with values in lattices and symmetric spaces of measurable operators//Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 1991. V. 109. P. 541–563.

К.С. Толенов¹, Д.Дәүітбек²

^{1,2} АФК, Математика және математикалық моделдегендеги институты, Алматы, Қазақстан
^{1,2} PhD, Әл-Фараби атындағы қазақстандық университетінің оқытушысы, Алматы, Қазақстан

КОММУТАТИВТІ ЕМЕС $H_E^{(A, \ell_\infty)}$ КЕҢІСТІГІНІЦ ТОЛЫҚТЫҒЫ

Аннотация. Коммутативті жағдайды қарастыра отырып, $f = \sup_n |f_n|$ максималды функциясы $L_p(\mu)$ – да тәуелді болса, сонда тек сонда ғана барлық $n \in \mathbb{N}$ үшін $f_n = cz_n = z_n c$ факторизациялауы бар болады, мұндағы $c \in L_p(\mu)$ және $\sup_n \|z_n\|_\infty < \infty$. Вектор-мәнді коммутативті емес L_p -кеңістіктерінің теориясын 1998 жылы алғаш рет Писье енгізген. Писье M -типеракырлы болған жағдайын қарастырган. Бұл теория коммутативті емес жағдайда максималды функция мәселесін шешті. Кейіннеге 2002 жылы Юнге және Шүй жалпы жағдайда енгізген. Осы вектор-мәнді коммутативті емес L_p -кеңістіктерін пайдаланып, Юнге жалпы жағдайда Дубтың максималды теңсіздік мәселесінің коммутативті емес нұсқасын шешті.

Коммутативті емес вектор-мәнді Харди кеңістіктері [2]-де енгізілді. Осы мәкалада Коммутативті емес Харди кеңістіктерінде максималды функцияның мәсселелерін қарастырамыз. Сол себепті біз коммутативті емес вектор-мәнді симметриялық Харди кеңістіктерін енгіземіз.

Біздің мақсатымыз олардың қасиеттерін көрсету. Бұл кеңістіктің толықтығын дәлелдейтін тағы бір пайдалы дәлел келтірілген. Сондай-ақ, Сайто теоремасы ұқсайтын факторизациялық теореманы аламыз. коммутативті емес мартингал теориясы, коммутативті емес эргодик теориясы және оператор мәнді Харди кеңістіктер теориясы үшін қолдануға болады.

Түйін сөздер: Фон Нейман алгебрасы, τ - өлшемді оператор, субдиагональді алгебра, коммутативты емес симметриялық кеңістік, коммутативты емес Харди кеңістігі.

К.С. Туленов¹, Д. Дауитбек²

^{1,2} СНС, Институт математики и математического моделирования, Алматы, Казахстан
^{1,2} PhD, преподаватель, Казахский национальный университет им. Аль-Фараби, Алматы, Казахстан

ПОЛНОТА НЕКОММУТАТИВНОГО ПРОСТРАНСТВО $H_E^{(A, \ell_\infty)}$

Аннотация. Рассматривая коммутативный случай, мы знаем, что максимальная функция $f = \sup_n |f_n|$ принадлежит $L_p(\mu)$ тогда и только тогда, когда существует факторизация $f_n = cz_n = z_n c$ для всех $n \in \mathbb{N}$, где $c \in L_p(\mu)$ и $\sup_n \|z_n\|_\infty < \infty$. Теория вектор-значных некоммутативных L_p -пространств впервые вводится Письеом в 1998 году. Писье считал случай M гиперконечным. Эта теория решала задачу максимальной функции в некоммутативном случае. Позднее в 2002 году Юнге и Сюй представили общий случай. Используя эти некоммутативные векторнозначные L_p -пространства, Юнге решил некоммутативный вариант максимальной задачи неравенства Дуба в общем случае.

Некоммутативные векторнозначные пространства Харди были интродуцированы в [2]. В настоящей работе рассматриваются задачи максимальной функции на некоммутативных пространствах Харди. По этой причине мы вводим некоммутативное вектор-симметричное симметричное пространство Харди.

Наша цель - открыть их свойства. Представлено еще одно полезное доказательство полноты этого пространства. Мы также получаем теорему факторизации, такую как теорема Сайто. Работа в основном теоретическая. Результаты могут быть использованы для дальнейшего развития некоммутативной теории мартингалов, некоммутативной эргодической теории и операторнозначной теории пространств Харди.

Ключевые слова: алгебра Фон Неймана, τ -измеримый оператор, поддиагональная алгебра, некоммутативное симметричное пространство, некоммутативное пространство Харди.