NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN PHYSICO-MATHEMATICAL SERIES

ISSN 1991-346X

Volume 2, Number 306 (2016), 128-133

UDC: 511.11

STATIONARY M-DIGITADDITION NUMBERS

S. Makyshov

Almaty, Kazakhstan admin@smaiyl.com

Key words: positive integer, D. Kaprekap, digitadditon positive integers, self-positive integers.

Abstract. The article studies one method of numbers generation. For this new method we define and study sets of so called *m*-digitaddition and *m*-self positive integers. In addition, we introduce a stationary number term for the mentioned operation and provide a full description of the set of stationary numbers under some conditions.

1. Introduction. In his book "Time Travel and Other Mathematical Bewilderments" [1] famous American science writer Martin Gardner writes about one Indian mathematician D. R. Kaprekar, who discovered one remarkable set of so called digitaddition numbers. Let us choose any positive integer n and denote the sum of its digits by S(n). The number K(n) = n + S(n) is called a *digitaddition* and the chosen number n is its *generator*. For example, if we choose a number 53, then its digitaddition is 53 + 5 + 3 = 61.

A digitaddition may have more than one generator. The least digitaddition with two generators is 101, it is generated by 91 and 100. The least digitaddition with tree generators, $10^{13} + 1$, is generated by 10^{13} , $10^{13} - 99$, $10^{13} - 108$. The least digitaddition with four generators discovered by Kaprekar, $10^{24} + 102$, has 25 digits. He managed to find the least digitadditions with 5 and 6 generators as well.

Positive integer that has no generator is called a *self number*. An article in the American journal «The American Mathematical Monthly» of 1974 showed that there exist infinitely many self numbers, but they are far less frequent than digitadditions. There are only 13 self numbers in the first hundred: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 20, 31, 42, 53, 64, 75, 86, 97. A million, i.e. 10^6 , is a self number and the next power of ten self number is 10^{16} . Non-recursive formula for self numbers is yet to be discovered.

K(n), basically, is a new number, generated by n with the use of simple and natural function.

2. Definitions. Let $I = \{0, 1, ..., 9\}$ be the set of decimal digits and let N be the set of positive integers. If $a \in N$, then a can be expressed as

$$a = \alpha_{k-1} \cdot 10^{k-1} + \alpha_{k-2} \cdot 10^{k-2} + \dots + \alpha_1 \cdot 10 + \alpha_0$$

where $\alpha_{k-1} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_i \in I$ (i=0, 1, ..., k-1). We will denote a as $a = (\alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_{k-2}, ..., \alpha_0)$ and call number k = d(a) its rank, or simply the number of digits. By definition, $10^{k-1} \le a \le 10^k - 1$.

Let $S(a) = \alpha_{k-1} + ... + \alpha_0$ be the sum of a's digits. The number

$$\hat{a} = (\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{k-1}) = \alpha_0 10^{k-1} + \alpha_1 10^{k-2} + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}.$$

will be called *backward* to a. Some of \hat{a} 's first digits can be zeros, thus $d(\hat{a}) \le k$. If $a = \hat{a}$ then a is called *symmetrical*.

Kaprekar was studying the sum of a number and its digits: a+s(a). If we add \hat{a} to that expression it becomes symmetrical: $a+s(a)+\hat{a}$. That expression is greater than a and always divisible by 3, thus it seems logical to consider only a third part of it:

$$M(a) = \frac{1}{3}(a+s(a)+\hat{a}).$$

We have just built a quite natural and simple procedure for generating new numbers: $a \to M(a)$. Following the example of Kaprekar, M(a) will be called an m-digitable dition with an m-generator a. Numbers without m-generators will be called m-self.

If we denote the set of all *m*-self numbers by E and the set of all *m*-digitadditions by G, then $N = G \cup E$.

3. *m*-digitadditions. We've already said that digitadditions can be found more frequently than self numbers. In our case the situation is completely different. Thus, among the first thousand there're 773 *m*-self numbers and 227 *m*-digitadditions. Among the second thousand there're 944 *m*-self numbers and only 56 *m*-digitadditions. Using a simple C++ code all the *m*-digitadditions in range from 1 to 10^6 were found. Their number turned out to be 15840.

Let's denote by g_r the least *m*-digitaddition that has exactly *r m*-generators. From the data generated by a computer program we created the three following tables.

r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
g_r	1	4	8	16	20	24	28	32	36

We can see that $g_{i+1} - g_i = 4$ for i = 5, 6, 7, 8.

r	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
g_r	334	1001	1335	1669	2003	2337	2671	3005	3339

In this table $g_{j+10} - g_j = 334$ for j = 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80.

r	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900
g_r	66670	100004	133338	166672	200006	233340	266674	300008	333342

Here we have $g_{l+100} - g_l = 33334$ for l = 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800.

- 4. *m*-self numbers. The following facts were found by studying all the m-self numbers from 1 to 10⁶:
- a) numbers in the form 10^p for p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 are *m*-self;
- 6) numbers written with the same digit, save 5555, are *m*-self;
- in particular, 11, 111, 1111, 11111, 111111, 33, 333, 3333, 33333, 333333, 99, 999, 9999, 99999, 999999 are *m*-self;
 - B) numbers in forms $(\alpha 000)$, $(\beta 0000)$, $(\gamma 00000)$ for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ are *m*-self.

The amount of *m*-self numbers among the first million is 984160.

For every $k \in N$ we denote by N_k , G_k , E_k the set of all the k-digit numbers, the set of k-digit m-digit additions and the set of k-digit m-selves respectively. Here we have $N_k = G_k \cup E_k$.

5. Stationary numbers. If $a \in N$ and a = M(a) then a is called *stationary*. Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 24, 36, 48, 102 happen to be stationary. It's clear that stationary number is always m-digitable distribution, since its m-generator is it itself. Every stationary number a satisfies the equation

$$2a = \hat{a} + s(a) \tag{1}$$

By F_k , $k \ge 1$ we'll denote a set of k-digid stationary numbers. We will find all the stationary numbers less than 10^6 .

Proposition 1. Let $1 \le k \le 6$, then

$$\begin{split} F_1 &= \big\{\,1,\,2,\,3,\,4,\,5,\,6,\,7,\,8,\,9\,\,\big\},\ F_2 &= \big\{\,12,\,24,\,36,\,48\,\,\big\},\ F_3 &= \big\{\,102,\,204,\,306,\,408\,\,\big\}\,, \\ F_4 &= \big\{\,1002,\,2004,\,3006,\,4008,\,1372,\,2374,\,3376,\,4378,\,1743,\,2745,\,3747,\,4749\,\,\big\}\,, \\ F_5 &= \big\{\,10002,\,20004,\,30006,\,40008,\,17043,\,27045,\,37045,\,47049\,\,\big\}\,, \\ F_6 &= \big\{\,100002,\,200004,\,300006,\,400008,\,170043,\,270045,\,370047,\,470049\,\,\big\}\,. \end{split}$$

By looking at the sets F_5 and F_6 one can deduce an analogy to build some stationary numbers for $k \ge 7$.

Proposition 2. For any $k \ge 4$ the following eight numbers are stationary: $c_{i,k} = (\alpha \underbrace{0...0}_{k-2} \beta)$,

$$\alpha = i, \, \beta = 2i, \, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \; , \; e_{j,k} = \left(\gamma 7 \; \underbrace{0...0}_{k-2} \; 4\theta \right), \; \gamma = j \; , \; \theta = 2j+1 \; , \; 1 \leq j \leq 4 \; .$$

Proof. Proposition can be easily proved by plugging the values into equation (1).

Let $H_k = \{c_{i,k}, e_{j,k}\}$. We have $H_k \subset F_k$, but for $k \ge 7$ the set F_k can hold additional numbers, not lying in H_k . Denote $F_k \setminus H_k = V_k$ for $k \ge 7$, then we get $H_k \cup V_k = F_k$.

Let $a = (\alpha_{k-1} \dots \alpha_0)$, $\alpha_{k-1} \neq 0$. The equation (1) writes as follows:

$$2\alpha_{k-1} \cdot 10^{k-1} + \dots + 2\alpha_2 \cdot 10^2 + 2\alpha_1 \cdot 10 + 2\alpha_0 = 2\alpha_0 \cdot 10^{k-1} + \dots + \alpha_{k-3} \cdot 10^2 + \alpha_{k-2} \cdot 10 + 2\alpha_{k-1} + s(a)$$
 (2)

We can see that $1 \le \alpha_{k-1} \le 4$ and $\alpha_0 = 2\alpha_{k-1}$ or $\alpha_0 = 2\alpha_{k-1} + 1$. Let

$$10\alpha_{k-2} + \alpha_{k-1} + s(a) = 20\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_0 + \Delta. \tag{3}$$

Then

$$\Delta = 11 \alpha_{k-2} + 2\alpha_{k-1} - 19 \alpha_1 - \alpha_0 + (\alpha_{k-3} + \dots + \alpha_3)$$
 (4)

The definition of Δ and equation (2) imply that $\Delta = l \cdot 10^2$, where l = -1, 0, 1, 2, ... After plugging the expression (3) into equation (2) and dividing (2) by 10^2 we get

$$2\alpha_{k-1} \cdot 10^{k-3} + 2\alpha_{k-2} \cdot 10^{k-4} + 2\alpha_{k-3} \cdot 10^{k-5} + \dots + 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_0 \cdot 10^{k-3} + \alpha_1 \cdot 10^{k-4} + \alpha_2 \cdot 10^{k-5} + \dots + \alpha_{k-3} + l$$
 (5)

Next we find the variables by pairs: $\{\alpha_{k-3}, \alpha_2\}$ first, then $\{\alpha_{k-4}, \alpha_3\}$ and so on.

Proposition 3. Let $k \ge 7$, $\Delta = l \cdot 10^2$, where $-1 \le l \le 9$. For the pair $\{\alpha_{k-3}, \alpha_2\}$ we have 19 following possibilities:

No॒	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
1	-1	-1	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	6	7	8	9	9
α_{k-3}	3	9	0	6	3	7	4	0	1	7	4	8	1	2	8	9	2	3	9
α_2	6	9	0	3	7	4	8	1	2	5	9	6	3	4	7	8	5	6	9

Proof. From the equation (5) we have the following systems of equations that contain variables α_{k-3} and α_{3} :

1)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-3} = \alpha_2 \\ 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l \end{cases}$$
5)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-3} = 10 + \alpha_2 \\ 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l \end{cases}$$
2)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-3} = \alpha_2 \\ 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l + 10 \end{cases}$$
6)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-3} = 10 + \alpha_2 \\ 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l + 10 \end{cases}$$
7)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-3} + 1 = 10 + \alpha_2 \\ 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l + 10 \end{cases}$$
4)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-1} + 1 = \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l + 10 \end{cases}$$
8)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-3} + 1 = 10 + \alpha_2 \\ 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l + 10 \end{cases}$$
8)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-3} + 1 = 10 + \alpha_2 \\ 2\alpha_2 = \alpha_{k-3} + l + 10 \end{cases}$$

By solving those systems we can get all the aforementioned solutions $\{\alpha_{k-3}, \alpha_2\}$. Theorem 3 is proved.

Thus, we found all the pairs $\{\alpha_{k-3},\alpha_2\}$. Then we will find the pair $\{\alpha_{k-4},\alpha_3\}$ and so forth. For every of 19 possibilities for the pair $\{\alpha_{k-3},\alpha_2\}$ we must solve 4 systems of equations to find $\{\alpha_{k-4},\alpha_3\}$. Those 19 possibilities all fall into one of the following 4 types, such that all the solutions of the same type lead to the same values of $\{\alpha_{k-4},\alpha_3\}$:

 $T_1 = \{ 2\alpha_{k-3} \equiv \alpha_2 \pmod{10} \}$ and $\alpha_2 \le 4 \}$ includes 3, 6, 9, 14 solutions,

 $T_2 = \{2\alpha_{k-3} \equiv \alpha_2 \pmod{10} \text{ and } \alpha_2 \geq 5 \}$ includes 1, 7, 12, 16, 18 solutions,

 $T_3 = \{ 2\alpha_{k-3} + 1 \equiv \alpha_2 \pmod{10} \text{ and } \alpha_2 \leq 4 \} \text{ includes } 4, 8, 13 \text{ solutions,}$

 $T_4 = \{ 2\alpha_{k-3} + 1 \equiv \alpha_2 \pmod{10} \text{ and } \alpha_2 \ge 5 \} \text{ includes 2, 5, 10, 11, 15, 17, 19 solutions.}$

In case of T_1 we get $\alpha_{k-4}=0$, $\alpha_3=0$. A pair $\{0,0\}$ also falls into T_1 giving the same values $\alpha_{k-5}=0$, $\alpha_4=0$ again and so on. Thus, in T_1 we have $\alpha_{k-4}=\alpha_{k-5}=...=\alpha_4=\alpha_3=0$.

In case of T_2 or T_3 we get $\alpha_{k-4}=6$, $\alpha_3=3$. A pair $\{6,3\}$ falls into T_2 as well, giving $\alpha_{k-5}=6$, $\alpha_4=3$ again and so forth. This sequence leads to contradiction in the middle of \boldsymbol{Q} . Thus, cases T_2 and T_3 give us no solutions.

In case T_4 we get $\alpha_{k-4} = 9$, $\alpha_3 = 9$. A pair $\{9, 9\}$ is also in T_4 . And thus, in T_4 we have $\alpha_{k-4} = \alpha_{k-5} = \dots = \alpha_4 = \alpha_3 = 9$.

Shortly speaking, now we must consider possibilities 3, 6, 9, 14 (type T_1) and 2, 5, 10, 11, 15, 17, 19 (type T_4). Let's start from possibility number 2.

Proposition 4. Let $k \ge 7$, $\Delta = -100$ and $a = (a_{k-1} \ a_{k-2} \ \underbrace{9...9}_{k-4} \ a_1 a_0)$. Then the set of stationary numbers is $V_7 = \{ h_{i,7} = (\alpha 49999\beta) \}$, where $\alpha = i$, $\beta = 2i$, $1 \le i \le 4 \}$.

Proof. From the statement we have

$$\Delta = -100 = 11\alpha_{k-2} + 2\alpha_{k-1} - 19\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 + 9(k-4)$$
. (6)

Since $\alpha_{k-3} = 9 \ge 5$ we have the following systems of equations to consider.

1)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-2} + 1 = \alpha_1 \\ 2\alpha_{k-1} = \alpha_0 \end{cases}$$
 2)
$$\begin{cases} 2\alpha_{k-2} + 1 = \alpha_1 + 10 \\ 2\alpha_{k-1} + 1 = \alpha_1 \end{cases}$$

By plugging equations of the first system into (6) we get $3\alpha_{k-2} = k+5$. Considering the fact that $k \ge 7$ and $\alpha_{k-2} \le 4$ we can find the solution: $\alpha_{k-2} = 4$, $\alpha_1 = 7$, k = 7. Next, $\alpha_0 = 2\alpha_{k-1}$, where $1 \le \alpha_{k-1} \le 4$ and we get the stationary numbers $h_{i,7}$, $1 \le i \le 4$.

In case of the system 2) there are no solutions. *Theorem 4* is proved.

Solutions to 10 other possibilities are similar to the considered one, so we will just provide (without proof) the following three theorems.

Proposition 5. In cases 6, 9, 14 and 10, 15, 17, 19 no solutions can be found.

Proposition 6. Let $\Delta = 0$. Then the set of stationary numbers for $k \ge 7$ is identical to the set H_k .

Proposition 7. Considering cases 5 and 11 we can get the following sets of stationary numbers:

$$V_{16} = \left\{ d_{i,16} = (\alpha 03 \ \underline{9...9} \ 70\beta) ,$$
где $\alpha = i \ , \ \beta = 2i \ , \ 1 \le i \le 4 \
ight\},$

$$Z_{49} = \{ f_{i,49} = (\alpha 04 \ \underline{9...9} \ 70\beta),$$
где $\alpha = i, \beta = 2i, 1 \le i \le 4 \},$

$$Z_{52} = \left\{ f_{i,52} = (\alpha 14 \underbrace{9...9}_{47} 2\beta), \text{ где } \alpha = i, \beta = 2i, 1 \le i \le 4 \right\},$$

$$Z_{55} = \left\{ f_{i,55} = (\alpha 24 \ \underline{9...9} \ 4\beta), \text{ где } \alpha = i, \beta = 2i, 1 \le i \le 4 \right\},$$

$$\begin{split} &V_{58} = \left\{ \, d_{i,58} = (\alpha 34 \,\, \underbrace{9...9}_{53} \,\, 6\beta) \,, \, \text{где} \,\, \alpha = i \,\,, \,\, \beta = 2i \,\,, \,\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\, \right\}, \\ &V_{61} = \left\{ f_{i,61} = (\alpha 44 \,\, \underbrace{9...9}_{57} \,\, 8\beta) \,\,, \,\, \text{где} \,\, \alpha = i \,\,, \,\, \beta = 2i \,\,, \,\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\, \right\}, \\ &V_{43} = \left\{ f_{i,43} = (\alpha 54 \,\, \underbrace{9...9}_{38} \,\, 0\beta) \,\,, \,\, \text{где} \,\, \alpha = i \,\,, \,\, \beta = 2i + 1 \,\,, \,\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\, \right\}, \\ &V_{46} = \left\{ f_{i,46} = (\alpha 64 \,\, \underbrace{9...9}_{41} \,\, 2\beta) \,\,, \,\, \text{где} \,\, \alpha = i \,\,, \,\, \beta = 2i + 1 \,\,, \,\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\, \right\}, \\ &W_{49} = \left\{ f_{i,49} = (\alpha 74 \,\, \underbrace{9...9}_{44} \,\, 4\beta) \,\,, \,\, \text{где} \,\, \alpha = i \,\,, \,\, \beta = 2i + 1 \,\,, \,\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\, \right\}, \\ &W_{52} = \left\{ f_{i,52} = (\alpha 84 \,\, \underbrace{9...9}_{47} \,\, 6\beta) \,\,, \,\, \text{где} \,\, \alpha = i \,\,, \,\, \beta = 2i + 1 \,\,, \,\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\, \right\}, \\ &W_{55} = \left\{ f_{i,55} = (\alpha 94 \,\, \underbrace{9...9}_{50} \,\, 8\beta) \,\,, \,\, \text{где} \,\, \alpha = i \,\,, \,\, \beta = 2i + 1 \,\,, \,\, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\, \right\}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we found all the stationary numbers, when $k \ge 7$ and $\Delta = l \cdot 10^2$, where $-1 \le l \le 9$.

In the case of $k \ge 7$ and $\Delta = 10^3$ stationary can also be found by the same algorithm. We will simply provide the results in theorem 8.

Proposition 8. If $k \ge 7$ and $\Delta = 10^3$, then all the stationary numbers can be found in the following sets:

$$\begin{split} &V_{118} = \left\{ \; q_{i,118} = (\alpha \, 003 \, \, \underbrace{9...9}_{110} \, \, 700 \beta) \, , \, \text{где} \; \; \alpha = i \; , \; \beta = 2i \; , \; 1 \leq i \leq 4 \; \right\}, \\ &V_{121} = \left\{ \; q_{i,121} = (\alpha \, 103 \, \, \underbrace{9...9}_{113} \, \, 702 \beta) \, , \, \text{где} \; \; \alpha = i \; , \; \beta = 2i \; , \; 1 \leq i \leq 4 \; \right\}, \\ &V_{124} = \left\{ \; q_{i,124} = (\alpha \, 203 \, \, \underbrace{9...9}_{116} \, \, 704 \beta) \, , \, \text{где} \; \; \alpha = i \; , \; \beta = 2i \; , \; 1 \leq i \leq 4 \; \right\}, \\ &V_{127} = \left\{ \; q_{i,127} = (\alpha \, 303 \, \, \underbrace{9...9}_{119} \, \, 706 \beta) \, , \, \text{где} \; \; \alpha = i \; , \; \beta = 2i \; , \; 1 \leq i \leq 4 \; \right\}, \\ &V_{130} = \left\{ \; q_{i,130} = (\alpha \, 403 \, \, \underbrace{9...9}_{122} \, \, 708 \beta) \, , \, \text{где} \; \; \alpha = i \; , \; \beta = 2i \; , \; 1 \leq i \leq 4 \; \right\}. \end{split}$$

For every Q with a rank $d(a) \le 130$ we have $\Delta < 1100$. Denote $R = \{7, 16, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55, 58, 61, 118, 121, 124, 127, 130\}$, $Q = \{49, 52, 55\}$.

Summing up all the results of statements 2-8 we can formulate the following theorem.

Theorema 1. Let $7 \le k \le 130$, then

- a) if $k \in R$, then $F_k = H_k \cup V_k$,
- 6) if $k \in Q$, then $F_k = H_k \cup Z_k \cup W_k$,
- B) if $k \notin (R \cup Q)$, then $F_k = H_k$.

Let's show now how to find stationary numbers is a general case.

In case $\Delta = l_1 \cdot 10^2 + l_2 \cdot 10^3 + ... + l_m \cdot 10^{m+1}$, where $l_i \in I$, we'll be able to find the values of pairs $\{\alpha_{k-m-2}, \alpha_{m+1}\}$, $\{\alpha_{k-m-1}, \alpha_m\}$, etc. In those cases, where there is a solution, we'll find the values of stationary numbers.

For providing advice and guidance, and for the encouragement and support, I thank to my supervisor professor Sava Grozdev.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gardner, M., "Time travel and other mathematical bewilderments", New York, 1988.
- [2] Grozdev, S., Nenkov, V., "Kaprekar's Constant", Mathematics Plus, 22 (85), number 1, 2014, 65-68.

НЕПОДВИЖНЫЕ М-ПОРОЖДЕННЫЕ ЧИСЛА

С. Макышов

Ключевые слова: натуральные числа, Д.Капрекар, порожденные числа, самопорожденные числа.

Аннотация. В работе рассматривается один способ генерации чисел. Относительно этого способа определяются и изучаются классы *m*-порожденных и *m*-самопорожденных целых положительных чисел. Также вводится понятие неподвижного числа, и дается описание множества неподвижных чисел при определенных условиях.

ТҰРАҚТЫ М-ТУЫНДАҒАН САНДАР

С. Макышов

Түйін сөздер: натурал сандар, Д. Капрекар, туындаған сандар, өзіндік туындаған сандар.

Аннотация. Мақалада жаңа сандар құрастырудың тағы бір әдісі қарастырылады. Осы әдіске қатысты m-туындаған және m- өзіндік туындаған натурал сандардың кластары анықталады және зерттеледі. Сонымен қатар тұрақты сандар анықтамасы беріледі және белгілі бір шартта тұрақты сандар жиыны табылады.